
Static Program Analysis
<http://proglang.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/teaching/programanalysis/2014ss/>

Solution Sheet 3

15.05.2014

Exercise 1 (Posets)

1. Show that for the two partially ordered sets (posets) $(\mathcal{P}(M), \subseteq)$ and $(\mathcal{P}(N), \subseteq)$ the product of the two posets is a poset

$$(\mathcal{P}(M) \times \mathcal{P}(N), \sqsubseteq).$$

The partial order \sqsubseteq is defined as

$$(m_1, n_1) \sqsubseteq (m_2, n_2) \Leftrightarrow m_1 \subseteq m_2 \wedge n_1 \subseteq n_2.$$

You can assume that M and N are disjoint.

2. a) Let $(P_1, \sqsubseteq_1), \dots, (P_n, \sqsubseteq_n)$ be posets. Show that the cartesian product $P_1 \times \dots \times P_n$ and the relation \sqsubseteq^n , where \sqsubseteq^n is defined as

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sqsubseteq^n (y_1, \dots, y_n) \stackrel{def}{=} \exists i \in [1, n] : \forall j < i : x_j = y_j \wedge x_i \sqsubseteq_i y_i$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sqsubseteq^n (y_1, \dots, y_n) \stackrel{def}{=} (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sqsubseteq^n (y_1, \dots, y_n) \vee \bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = y_i,$$

is a poset.

- b) Show that $(P_1 \times \dots \times P_n, \sqsubseteq^n)$ is totally ordered if $(P_1, \sqsubseteq_1), \dots, (P_n, \sqsubseteq_n)$ are totally ordered.
- c) What is the (unique) top/bottom element \top/\perp of $(P_1 \times \dots \times P_n, \sqsubseteq^n)$?
- d) What requirement(s) on $(P_1, \sqsubseteq_1), \dots, (P_n, \sqsubseteq_n)$ need to be satisfied for \top/\perp to exist in $(P_1 \times \dots \times P_n, \sqsubseteq^n)$?

Solution

1. Reflexivity:

Proof. $(n, m) \sqsubseteq (n, m) = m \subseteq m \wedge n \subseteq n \quad \square$

Antisymmetry:

Proof.

$$(n_1, m_1) \sqsubseteq (n_2, m_2) \wedge (n_2, m_2) \sqsubseteq (n_1, m_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow m_1 \subseteq m_2 \wedge m_2 \subseteq m_1 \wedge n_1 \subseteq n_2 \wedge n_2 \subseteq n_1$$

$$\Rightarrow (n_1, m_1) = (n_2, m_2)$$

\square

Transitivity:

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n_1, m_1) \sqsubseteq (n_2, m_2) \wedge (n_2, m_2) \sqsubseteq (n_3, m_3) \\
\implies & m_1 \subseteq m_2 \wedge n_1 \subseteq n_2 \wedge m_2 \subseteq m_3 \wedge n_2 \subseteq n_3 \\
\implies & m_1 \subseteq m_3 \wedge n_1 \subseteq n_3 \\
\implies & (n_1, m_1) \sqsubseteq (n_2, m_2)
\end{aligned}$$

□

2. Note that the order \sqsubseteq^n is the lexicographical order.

Let $\bar{a} = (a_1 \times \cdots \times a_n)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1 \times \cdots \times b_n)$.

a) Reflexivity:

$$\bar{a} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{a}$$

Proof. Immediate from the definition of \sqsubseteq^n .

□

Antisymmetry:

$$\bar{a} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{b} \wedge \bar{b} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{a} \implies \bar{a} = \bar{b}$$

Proof.

Case $(\bar{a} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{b} \wedge \bar{b} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{a})$. \nexists

In particular from $\exists i \in [1, n] : \forall j < i : a_j = b_j \wedge a_i \sqsubset_i b_i$ follows that $\nexists i' \in [1, n] : \forall j' < i' : b_{j'} = a_{j'} \wedge b_{i'} \sqsubset_{i'} a_{i'}$ because $\forall i \in [1, n] : (a_i = b_i \implies a_i \not\sqsubset_i b_i \wedge b_i \not\sqsubset_i a_i) \wedge (a_i \sqsubset_i b_i \implies a_i \neq b_i)$

Case $(\bar{a} = \bar{b})$.

□

Transitivity: $\bar{a} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{b} \wedge \bar{b} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{c} \implies \bar{a} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{c}$

Proof.

Case $(\bar{a} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{b} \wedge \bar{b} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{c})$. We know that

$$\exists i \in [1, n] : \forall j < i : a_j = b_j \wedge a_i \sqsubset_i b_i$$

,

$$\exists i' \in [1, n] : \forall j' < i' : b_{j'} = a_{j'} \wedge b_{i'} \sqsubset_{i'} a_{i'}$$

- If $i = i'$ then because of transitivity of \sqsubset_i it holds that $a_i \sqsubset_i c_i$ such that $\forall j < i : a_j = b_j \wedge a_i \sqsubset_i c_i$.
- If $i \neq i'$ then it holds that $\forall j < i'' : a_j = b_j \wedge a_{i''} \sqsubset_{i''} c_{i''}$ where $i'' = \min(i, i')$.
- If $i \neq i'$ then $\forall j < i' : a_j = b_j \wedge a_{i'} \sqsubset_{i'} c_{i'}$.

Case $(\bar{a} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{b} \wedge \bar{b} = \bar{c})$. Immediate.

Case $(\bar{a} = \bar{b} \wedge \bar{b} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{c})$. Immediate.

Case $(\bar{a} = \bar{b} \wedge \bar{b} = \bar{c})$. Immediate.

□

b) We need to show that $\forall \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in P_1 \times \dots \times P_n : \bar{a} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{b} \vee \bar{b} \sqsubseteq^n \bar{a}$.

Proof by construction.

Case $(\bar{a} = \bar{b})$. *Immediate.*

Case $(\bar{a} \neq \bar{b})$. *We use the following algorithm to find $i \in [1, n]$ such that $\forall j < i : a_j = b_j \wedge (a_i \sqsubseteq_i b_i \vee b_i \sqsubseteq_i a_i)$.*

1. $i=0$;

2. *Due to the total ordering of $(P_1, \sqsubseteq_1), \dots, (P_n, \sqsubseteq_n)$ we know that either $a_i \sqsubseteq_i b_i, b_i \sqsubseteq_i a_i$ or $a_i = b_i$.*

If $a_i \sqsubseteq_i b_i$ or $b_i \sqsubseteq_i a_i$ i has been found.

Otherwise $a_i = b_i$

A. $i := i+1$;

B. *Goto 2.*

The algorithm terminates as $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$.

It is easy to see that $\forall j < i : a_j = b_j \wedge (a_i \sqsubseteq_i b_i \vee b_i \sqsubseteq_i a_i)$.

□

c) $\top^n = (\top^1 \times \dots \times \top^n)$ and $\perp^n = (\perp^1 \times \dots \times \perp^n)$

d) If $\top^1 \dots \top^n$ exist then \top^n exists. If $\perp^1 \dots \perp^n$ exist then \perp^n exists.