Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann Sergio Feo-Arenis Sergiy Bogomolov

Summer Term 2014

Software Engineering

http://proglang.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/teaching/swt/2014/

Exercise Sheet 2

Exercise 1 (20 points)

In the lecture, you have seen an approach to formally define requirements by using beforeafter predicates as shown below:

$enterBuilding(p) \triangleq$

```
PRE
```

```
\begin{array}{l} has Authorization(p) \land p \in carries Passport\\ \\ \textbf{THEN}\\ people In Building := people In Building \cup \{p\} \parallel\\ passports At Desk := passports At Desk \cup \{p\} \parallel\\ carries Passport := carries Passport - \{p\} \end{array}
```

```
END
```

In this exercise, you need to formalize using this notion the specification of a lift which works as follows:

- 1. The lift has a set of buttons inside of the cabin corresponding to each floor. Buttons in the lift are illuminated if pressed to provide the information about the floors still to be visited.
- 2. Each floor has a button to request the lift. Buttons on the floors are illuminated if pressed.
- 3. Lift door must be closed when the lift is moving.
- 4. The building has a number of "secure" floors *SECURE* accessible by only authorized personnel. In order to go to a secure floor, a user has to insert an appropriate key into the lock and press a button with the required floor number afterwards.

Below you find formal specifications of the following operations:

- 1. $request_lift(f)$ the user requests the lift at floor f.
- 2. $request_floor(f)$ the user requests to stop the lift at floor f.
- 3. depart(dir) the lift can start moving in the direction dir where $dir \in \{up, down\}$.
- 4. arrive(f) the lift must stop at the floor f.

```
floor \in INTEGER
moving \in BOOL
door \in \{open, closed\}
 request\_lift(f) \triangleq
 PRE
            f \in FLOORS
 THEN
            call\_requests := call\_requests \cup \{f\}
 END
 request_floor(f) \triangleq
 PRE
            f \in FLOORS
 THEN
            stop\_requests := stop\_requests \cup \{f\}
 END
 depart (dir) \triangleq
 PRE
            dir \in \{up, down\} \land moving = false \land door = open
 THEN
            moving := true \parallel
            floor := next(dir, floor)
 END
 arrive (f) \triangleq
 PRE
            f \in FLOORS \land moving = true \land req\_floor(f) \land door = closed
 THEN
            call\_requests := call\_requests - \{f\}
 END
```

Check the formal specification for incompleteness, internal inconsistencies as well as inconsistencies with the informal specification. Can you identify situations where the elevator control gets stuck or where the informal specification is violated? You may also suggest changes to the informal specification, if it does not make sense.

Hint: There are also invariants on the state of the elevator.

The formal specification relies on the following auxiliary definitions (functions and predicates):

- 1. $key_inserted() = true$ if an appropriate key is in the lock.
- 2. requests(dir) = true if they are floor requests in the direction dir.
- 3. *next*(*dir*, *floor*) is equal to the next floor where the lift has to stop in the direction *dir* when going from *floor*.
- 4. $req_floor(floor) = true$ if the lift needs to stop at floor.

Provide formal definitions for request(dir), next(dir, floor), and $req_floor(floor)$ as functions or predicates on the state of the elevator control.

Submission

- Submit this sheet *before* the lecture of Thursdays.
- Late submissions will not be accepted.
- Deadline: Thursday 11:59 a.m..