Compiler Construction 2010/2011: Garbage collection

Annette Bieniusa, Konrad Anton

December 1, 2010

Outline

Types of storage

Static allocation

- All names in the program are bound to a storage location known at compile-time
- does not allow recursion
- very fast due to direct access
- safe as the program cannot run out of memory

Stack allocation

- Local data is stored in an activation record/frame
- Values do not persist from one activation to next
- Size of local data may depend on parameters passed to procedure
- Only objects whose size is known at compile-time can be returned by a procedure

Heap allocation

- Data maybe allocated (and deallocated) in any order
- Size of data structure can be varied dynamically
- Dynamically-sized objects and closures (i.e. function paired with environment) can be returned by procedure
- allows recursive data structures

What is garbage collection?

Garbage collection

- automatic management of dynamically allocated storage
- performed at run-time

Terminology

- mutator = user program

Reachability

- Program variables and heap-allocated records form a directed graphs
- Local and global variables are roots of this graph

Liveness

A record in the heap is live if its address is held in a root, or there is a pointer to it held in another live heap record.

$$live = \{n \in Records | (\exists r \in Roots : r \rightarrow n) \vee (\exists m \in live : m \rightarrow n)\}$$

- Requirement: no random access to locations in address space
- (safe) approximation

Outline

Reference counting

Idea: keep track during execution how many pointers to a record exist!

For each access `y <- p`

```
1 z <- y
2 z.count <- z.count-1
3 if z.count=0
4    putOnFreelist(z)
5 y <- p
6 p.count <- p.count+1

1 function putOnFreeList(p)
2   for all fields f_i of p
3     p.f_i.count <- p.f_i.count-1
4     if p.f_i.count=0 putOnFreelist(p.f_i)
5   p.f_1 <- freelist
6   freelist <- p
```

Advantages

- Predictable
- No need to know all roots
- GC effort spread over runtime, no pauses

Problems

- Cycles of garbage cannot be reclaimed
  - Require programmer to break cycles explicitly
  - Combine reference counting with occasional mark-and-sweep
- Counters are expensive
  - Aggregate changes to counters via data flow analysis
- Complex memory management code at every pointer update

Outline

- Global traversal of all live objects to determine which ones maybe reclaimed
- Only started when available storage is exhausted
- Depth-first search marks all reachable nodes
- `freelist` contains pointers to available storage

Mark phase

```
1  for each root v
2      DFS(v)
3
4  function DFS(x)
5    if x is pointer into heap to record p
6      if record p is not marked
7        mark p
8        for each field f_i of record p
9          DFS(p.f_i)
```

Algorithm

Sweep phase

```
1  p <- first address in heap
2  while p < last address in heap
3    if record p is marked
4      unmark
5    else let f_1 be the first field in p
6         p.f_1 <- freelist
7         freelist <- p
8    p <- p + (size of record p)
```

Costs

- R = words of reachable data
- H = size of heap

Analysis

- Mark phase: $c_1 R$
- Sweep phase: $c_2 H$
- Regained memory: $H - R$
- Amortized cost:

$$\frac{c_1 R + c_2 H}{H - R}$$

Auxiliary memory usage

Worst case (for M&S)

Heap is filled with one long linked list. Calls to DFS nested $\Omega(H)$ deep!

Countermeasures:

- Emergency stop at full stack, then search heap for marked nodes with unmarked children
- Pointer reversal
    - While visiting y coming from t via $x.f$, use $x.f$ to point *back* to t.
    - DFS stack hidden in heap
    - Needs field `done` for each record

Pointer reversal

```
1  function DFS(x)
2    if x is a pointer and record x is not marked
3      t <- nil
4      mark x; done[x] = 0
5      while true
6        i <- done[x]
7        if i < number of fields in record x
8          y <- x.f_i      // index starts at 0
9          if y is a pointer and record y not marked
10           x.f_i <- t; t <- x; x <- y
11           mark x; done[x] = 0
12         else
13           done[x] <- i+1
14       else              // back to parent!
15         y <- x; x <- t
16         if x = nil then return
17         i <- done[x]
18         t <- x.f_i; x.f_i <- y
19         done[x] <- i+1
```

Issues

- Organizing the freelist
  - Array of several freelists
  - `freelist[i]` points to linked list of all records of size i
  - If `freelist[i]` is empty, grab entry from `freelist[j]` ($j > i$) putting unused portion back to `freelist[j-i]`
- Fragmentation

Outline

- Idea: build an isomorphic, compact image of the heap
  - Partition heap into from-heap and to-heap
  - Use from-heap to allocate data
  - When invoking garbage collection, move all reachable data to to-heap
  - Everything left is garbage
  - Reverse role of to-heap and from-heap
- To-space copy is compact $\Rightarrow$ no fragmentation
- Simple allocation: add requested size to `next`-pointer.

Breadth-first copying

```
1 scan <- next <- beginning of to-space
2 for each root r
3   r <- Forward(r)
4 while scan < next
5   for each field f_i of record at scan
6     scan.f_i <- Forward(scan.f_i)
7   scan <- scan + (size of record at scan)
```

Cheney's Algorithm

Forwarding a pointer

```
1  function Forward(p)
2    if p points to from-space
3      then if p.f_1 points to to-space
4              then return p.f_1
5              else for each field f_i of p
6                     next.f_i <- p.f_i
7                   p.f_1 <- next
8                   next <- next + (size of record p)
9                   return p.f_1
10     else return p
```

- Records that are copied near each other have the same distance from the roots
- If record p points to record s, they will likely be far apart
  $\Rightarrow$ bad caching behavior
- But: depth-first copying requires pointer-traversal
- hybrid solution: use breadth-first copying, but take direct children into account

Locality of references

```
1 function Forward(p)
2   if p points to from-space
3     then if p.f_1 points to to-space
4             then return p.f_1
5             else Chase(p); return p.f_1
6     else return p
7
8 function Chase(p)
9   repeat
10     q <- next            // q is the new p
11     next <- next + (size of record p)
12     r <- nil     // some child of p to copy along
13     for each field f_i of record p
14       q.f_i <- p.f_i
15       if q.f_i points to from-space
16         and q.f_i.f_1 does not point to to-space
17           then r <- q.f_i
18     p.f_1 <- q
19     p <- r
20   until p = nil
```

Analysis

- Breadth-first search: O(R)
- Regained memory: H/2 - R
- Amortized cost:

$$\frac{c_3 R}{\frac{H}{2} - R}$$

- Realistic setting: $H = 4R$
- high costs for copying! $c_3 \gg c_2, c_1$.

Outline

- Hypothesis: newly created objects are likely to die soon (*infant mortality*); if it survived several collection cycles, it is likely to survive longer
- Idea: collector concentrates on younger data
- Divide the heap into *generations*
- G_0 contains the most recently allocated data, $G_1, G_2, \ldots$ contain older objects
- Enlarge the set of roots to also include pointers from $G_1, G_2 \ldots$ to G_0:
  - need to track updating of fields
  - use a *remembered list/set* to collect updated objects and scan this for root pointers at garbage collection

- Use same system to garbage collect also older generations.
- Move objects from G_i to G_{i+1} after several collections.
- Possible to use the virtual memory system:
  - Updating an old generation sets a dirty bit for the corresponding page
  - If OS does not make dirty bits available, the user program can use write-protection for the page and implement user-mode fault handler for protection violations

Tuning parameters:

- Number of generations
- Relative size of generations
- Promotion threshold

Outline

Incremental and concurrent collection

- Collector might interrupt the program for a long time
- undesirable for interactive or real-time programs
- Idea: Perform GC in small increments

Incremental collection: collector performs only part of a collection each time

Concurrent collection: collector and mutator(s) run in parallel

Tricolor marking

White objects have not yet been visited.

Grey have been visited, but their children not yet.

Black have been visited as well as their children.

Basic algorithm

```
1 color all objects white
2 for each root r
3   if r points to an object p
4     color p grey
5 while there are any grey objects
6   select a grey record p
7   for each field f_i of p
8     if record p.f_i is white
9       color record p.f_i grey
10   color record p black
```

Invariants

1. No black object points to a white object.
2. Every grey object is on the collector's (stack or queue) data structure.

- Mutator must not violate these invariants.
- Synchronization of mutator and collector is necessary.

- Treating garbage as possibly live: acceptable
- Treating live data as garbage: bad! Happens only if:
  1. Mutator stores pointer to white *a* into black object, and
  2. the original reference to *a* is destroyed

Goal: fix invariant violations whenever the mutator stores pointers to white objects.

Possible approaches:

- Whenever the mutator stores a pointer to white *a* into a black object *b*, it colors *a* grey. ($\Rightarrow$ a reachable)
- Whenever the mutator stores a pointer to white *a* into a black object *b*, it colors *b* grey. ($\Rightarrow$ check b again)
- Use paging
  - Mark all-black pages as read-only
  - When mutator writes into all-black object, page fault!
  - Page fault handler colors all objects on the page grey.

Ensure that the mutator never sees a white object.

- Whenever the mutator fetches a pointer b to a white object, it colors b grey.
- Whenever the mutator fetches a pointer b from any memory page containing a non-black object, a page-fault handler colors every object on the page black (making children of these objects grey).

Baker's Algorithm

- When starting new gc cycle: Flip
    1. Swap roles of from-space and to-space.
    2. Forward all roots to to-space.
    3. Resume mutator.
- For each allocation:
    1. Scan a few pointers at scan.
    2. Allocate new record at the end of to-space.
    3. When scan reaches next, terminate gc for this cycle.
- For each fetch:
    1. Check if fetched pointer points to from-space.
    2. If so, forward pointed immediately.

Outline

Compiler interacts with GC by

- generating code for allocating data
- describing locations of roots
- describing data layout on heap
- implementing read/write barriers

Fast allocation

Example: Allocating record of size N when using copying collection:

1. Call the allocate function.
2. Test `next + N < limit?` $\Rightarrow$ If not, call gc.
3. Move next into result
4. Clear memory locations `next, ..., next+N-1`
5. `next <- next + N`
6. Move result into required place.
7. Store values into the record.

How much data is allocated on average?

- approximately one word of allocation per store instruction
- 1/7 of all instructions are stores

Possible optimization:

- Inline the allocate function.
- Move result directly into the right register.
- Combine clearing and initialization of fields.
- Allocate data for a whole block to minimize tests.

Data layouts

- Save for every heap object a pointer to its class-/type-descriptor
  - What is the total size of this object?
  - Which fields are pointers?
  - (For dynamic method lookup: vtable)
- Save all pointer-containing temporaries and local variables in a pointer map
  - different at every program point $\Rightarrow$ save it only at calls to alloc and function calls
  - Collector starts at top of stack and scans all frames, handling all the pointers in that frame as saved in the pointer-map entry for this frame
  - Information about callee-save registers need to be transfered to callee.

- Jones, R. and Lins, R. *Garbage Collection. Algorithms for Automatic Dynamic Memory Management.* John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, England (1996).