

IV.4 Strong ~~termination~~ Normalization

A language is strongly normalizing if every term in the language terminates eventually.

Quite an exceptional property ...

Amazingly our simply-typed language is λN !

Theorem $\not\vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \exists v \text{ val. } e \xrightarrow{*} v$

To simplify the proof consider

$$e ::= () \mid x \mid \lambda(x:\tau)e \mid ee$$

$$\tau ::= \text{unit} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

but the technique scales

Proof attempt (Wrong)

By induction on expression

- () ✓

- $x \notin \text{dom}(\not\vdash)$ ✓

- $\lambda(x:\tau)e$ val ✓

- $e_1 e_2$

Lemma $e_1 \xrightarrow{*} v_1 \wedge e_2 \xrightarrow{*} v_2 \Rightarrow e_1 e_2 \xrightarrow{*} v_1 v_2$

$e_2 \xrightarrow{*} v_2 \wedge v_1 e_2 \xrightarrow{*} v_1 v_2 \Rightarrow e_1 e_2 \xrightarrow{*} v_1 v_2$

Lemma $e_1 \xrightarrow{*} e_2 \wedge e_2 \xrightarrow{*} e_3 \Rightarrow e_1 \xrightarrow{*} e_3$

Assume $\emptyset \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \exists v \text{ val. } e \mapsto v$

Case e_1, e_2

$e_1 \mapsto v_1$ v_1 val by induction

$e_1, e_2 \mapsto v_1, v_2$ congr.

$e_2 \mapsto v_2$ v_2 val by induction

$v_1, v_2 \mapsto v_1, v_2$ congr.

$\emptyset \vdash v_1, v_2 : \tau$ by type preservation

$\emptyset \vdash v_1 : \tau \rightarrow \tau$ by inversion

$v_1 = \lambda(x:\tau_1) e$ canonical forms

$v_1, v_2 \mapsto e[x := v_2]$ β -reduction

$e[x := v_2] \mapsto v_3$ "induction"

$\rightsquigarrow e_1, e_2 \mapsto v_3$

Observation: the term can grow on reduction

Successful path to proof:

use a logical relation, i.e.,

a type-indexed relation on terms.

Note: unary relation = predicate.

[Idea due to Tait 1967]

Def logical relation $R_\tau(e)$

- $R_{\text{unit}}(e)$ iff e halts and $\phi \vdash e : \text{unit}$

- $R_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}(e)$ iff e halts and $\phi \vdash e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$

and $\forall e': R_{\tau_1}(e') \rightsquigarrow R_{\tau_2}(ee')$

Def. derived property @ base type

then extend logically to higher type

by requiring function preservation prop.

It remains to show

1. If $\phi \vdash e : \tau$, then $R_\tau(e)$

2. If $R_\tau(e)$, then e halts

Lemma

Proof (part 2): immediate by def.

Ad part 1: need to establish that the relation is preserved under evaluation

Lemma If $\phi \vdash e : t$ and $e \mapsto e'$
then $R_t(e) \rightsquigarrow R_t(e')$

Proof (sketch) because \mapsto is deterministic
 $\exists c [e \text{ halts iff } e' \text{ halts}]$
Induction on \boxed{t} !

Case unit:

Assume $\phi \vdash e : \text{unit}$ and $e \mapsto e'$

Show e halts $\wedge \phi \vdash e : \text{unit} \Leftrightarrow e'$ halts $\wedge \phi \vdash e' : \text{unit}$

✓

Case $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$:

Additionally we need

1. $R_{t_1}(e_1) \wedge R_{t_2}(ee_1) \Rightarrow R_{t_2}(e'e_1)$
2. $R_{t_1}(e_1) \wedge R_{t_2}(e'e_1) \Rightarrow R_{t_2}(ee_1)$

ad 1.

$$\phi \vdash e_1 : t_1 \quad R_{t_1}(e_1)$$

$$\phi \vdash e : t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \quad \text{ass.}$$

$$\leadsto \phi \vdash ee_1 : t_2 \quad \text{typing}$$

$$e \mapsto e' \leadsto ee_1 \mapsto e'e_1$$

$$\leadsto R_{t_2}(ee_1) \Leftrightarrow R_t(e'e_1) \quad \text{by induction}$$

Lemma If $\emptyset \vdash e : \tau$ then $R_\tau(e)$

Proof induction on $\emptyset \vdash e : \tau$

but for $\frac{x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\emptyset \vdash \lambda(x : \tau_1). e : \tau_2}$ the IIt is not applicable
generalize to

Lemma If $x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n \vdash e : \tau$

and $R_{\tau_1}(\sigma_1), \dots, R_{\tau_n}(\sigma_n)$

then $R_\tau(e[x_i := \sigma_i])$

Proof induction on typing derivation.