# Principles of Programming Languages Lecture 05 Types

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

Peter Thiemann University of Freiburg, Germany thiemann@informatik.uni-freiburg.de

28 May 2018





## 1 Type Inference for the Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

2 ML-Style Polymorphic Types

3 Type Inference for Mini-ML

# Type Inference for the Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus (STLC)

#### **Typing Problems**

Type checking: Given environment  $\Gamma$ , a term e and a type  $\tau$ , is  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  derivable? Type inference: Given a term e, are there  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  is derivable?

# Type Inference for the Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus (STLC)

#### **Typing Problems**

Type checking: Given environment  $\Gamma$ , a term e and a type  $\tau$ , is  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  derivable? Type inference: Given a term e, are there  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  is derivable?

#### Typing Problems for STLC

- Type checking and type inference are decidable for STLC
- Moreover, for each typable *e* there is a *principal typing*  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  such that any other typing is a substitution instance of the principal typing

# Prerequisites for Type Inference for STLC Substitution



#### Substitution

- A (type) substitution is a finite map S from type variables to types such that dom(S) ∩ Var(Sα) = Ø, for all type variables α
- $\blacksquare$  A substitution extends to a type  $\tau$  by applying it to all variables in  $\tau$

# Prerequisites for Type Inference for STLC Substitution



#### Substitution

- A (type) substitution is a finite map S from type variables to types such that dom(S) ∩ Var(Sα) = Ø, for all type variables α
- $\blacksquare$  A substitution extends to a type  $\tau$  by applying it to all variables in  $\tau$

#### Substitution

$$\blacksquare S = \{ \alpha \mapsto \texttt{Int}, \beta \mapsto \texttt{Int} \to \texttt{Int} \}$$

• 
$$\tau = \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow S\tau = \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} = S\beta$$

Let  $\mathcal{E} ::= \emptyset \mid \tau \doteq \tau', \mathcal{E}$  be a set of equations on types.

#### Unifiers and Most General Unifiers

- A substitution S is a *unifier of*  $\mathcal{E}$  if, for each  $\tau \doteq \tau' \in \mathcal{E}$ , it holds that  $S\tau = S\tau'$ .
- A substitution S is a most general unifier of  $\mathcal{E}$  if S is a unifier of  $\mathcal{E}$  and for every other unifier S' of  $\mathcal{E}$ , there is a substitution T such that  $S' = T \circ S$ .

REIBURG

Let  $\mathcal{E}:=\emptyset\mid\tau\doteq\tau',\mathcal{E}$  be a set of equations on types.

#### Unifiers and Most General Unifiers

- A substitution S is a *unifier of*  $\mathcal{E}$  if, for each  $\tau \doteq \tau' \in \mathcal{E}$ , it holds that  $S\tau = S\tau'$ .
- A substitution S is a most general unifier of  $\mathcal{E}$  if S is a unifier of  $\mathcal{E}$  and for every other unifier S' of  $\mathcal{E}$ , there is a substitution T such that  $S' = T \circ S$ .

#### Unification

There is an algorithm  $\mathcal{U}$  that, on input of  $\mathcal{E}$ , either returns a most general unifier of  $\mathcal{E}$  or fails if none exists.

REIBURG

# Unification Algorithm



Original Algorithm [Robinson 1965]

Simple, but exponential complexity.



## Original Algorithm [Robinson 1965]

Simple, but exponential complexity.

## Algorithm by Martelli and Montanari

Based on rewriting  $\mathcal{E}$ delete  $\mathcal{E} \cup \{\tau \doteq \tau\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ decompose  $\mathcal{E} \cup \{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \doteq \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau'_2\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \cup \{\tau_1 \doteq \tau'_1, \tau_2 \doteq \tau'_2\}$ conflict  $\mathcal{E} \cup \{\tau \doteq \tau'\} \Rightarrow \bot$  if  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are both not variables and start with a different type constructor swap  $\mathcal{E} \cup \{\tau \doteq \alpha\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \cup \{\alpha \doteq \tau\}$  if  $\tau$  is not a variable eliminate  $\mathcal{E} \cup \{\alpha \doteq \tau\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}[\alpha \mapsto \tau] \cup \{\alpha \doteq \tau\}$  if  $\alpha \notin Var(\tau)$  and  $\alpha \in Var(\mathcal{E})$ check  $\mathcal{E} \cup \{\alpha \doteq \tau\} \Rightarrow \bot$  if  $\alpha \in Var(\tau)$ 



The algorithm (due to John Mitchell) transforms a term into a principal typing judgment for the term or fails if no typing exists.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}(x) &= \operatorname{return} x : \alpha \vdash x : \alpha \\ \mathcal{P}(\lambda x . e) &= \operatorname{let} \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \leftarrow \mathcal{P}(e) \operatorname{in} \\ & \operatorname{if} x : \tau_x \in \Gamma \operatorname{then} \operatorname{return} \Gamma_x \vdash \lambda x . e : \tau_x \to \tau \\ & \operatorname{else} \operatorname{choose} \alpha \notin \operatorname{Var}(\Gamma, \tau) \operatorname{in} \\ & \operatorname{return} \Gamma \vdash \lambda x . e : \alpha \to \tau \\ \mathcal{P}(e_0 \ e_1) &= \operatorname{let} \Gamma_0 \vdash e_0 : \tau_0 \leftarrow \mathcal{P}(e_0) \operatorname{in} \\ & \operatorname{let} \Gamma_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{P}(e_1) \operatorname{in} \\ & \operatorname{with} \operatorname{disjoint} \operatorname{type} \operatorname{variables} \operatorname{in} (\Gamma_0, \tau_0) \operatorname{and} (\Gamma_1, \tau_1) \\ & \operatorname{choose} \alpha \notin \operatorname{Var}(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \tau_0, \tau_1) \operatorname{in} \\ & \operatorname{let} S \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(\Gamma_0 \doteq \Gamma_1, \tau_0 \doteq \tau_1 \to \alpha) \operatorname{in} \\ & \operatorname{return} S\Gamma_0 \cup S\Gamma_1 \vdash e_0 \ e_1 : S\alpha \\ \mathcal{P}([n]) &= \operatorname{return} \cdot \vdash [n] : \operatorname{N} \\ \mathcal{P}(\operatorname{succ} e) &= \operatorname{let} \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \leftarrow \mathcal{P}(e) \operatorname{in} \\ & \operatorname{let} S \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(\tau \doteq \operatorname{N}) \operatorname{in} \\ & \operatorname{return} S\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{succ} e : \operatorname{N} \\ \end{split}$$

UNI FREIBURG

## An example run of $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$





## 1 Type Inference for the Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

2 ML-Style Polymorphic Types

3 Type Inference for Mini-ML

Thiemann



- Simple types are restrictive
- Example:

```
(\lambda i.(i (\lambda y. succ y)) (i 42)) (\lambda x. x)
```

- $\lambda x.x: \alpha \to \alpha$
- *i* 42 requires  $i : \mathbf{N} \to \beta$
- $i (\lambda y. succ y)$  requires  $i : (\mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}) \to \gamma$
- Unification of the assumption on *i* fails: term has no simple type
- However, term evaluates without error
- Insufficient modularity

# Applied Mini-ML

### Syntax

#### Evaluation (Call-by-Value)

Beta-V  

$$(\lambda x. e) \ v \rightarrow_v e[x \mapsto v]$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{AppL} & \text{VAppR} \\ \frac{f \rightarrow_v f'}{f \ e \rightarrow_v f' \ e} & \frac{e \rightarrow_v e'}{v \ e \rightarrow_v v \ e'} \end{array}$$

LetL

$$\frac{e \to_v e'}{\text{let } x = e \text{ in } f \to_v \text{let } x = e' \text{ in } f}$$

Beta-Let  
let 
$$x = v$$
 in  $e \rightarrow_v e[x \mapsto v]$ 

$$\frac{e \rightarrow_{v} e'}{succ \ e \rightarrow_{v} succ \ e'}$$

Thiemann

 $\frac{\text{Delta}}{e \rightarrow_{\delta} e'} \frac{e}{e \rightarrow_{V} e'}$ 





## Syntax of Types

 $\begin{array}{lll} \tau & ::= & \alpha \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \texttt{Int} & \texttt{Types} \\ \sigma & ::= & \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \sigma & \texttt{Type Schemes} \\ \mathsf{\Gamma} & ::= & \cdot \mid \mathsf{\Gamma}, x : \sigma & \texttt{Type Environments} \end{array}$ 

The type scheme  $\forall \alpha. \sigma \ldots$ 

- $\blacksquare$  binds type variable  $\alpha$
- $\blacksquare$  can be *instantiated* by substituting a type for  $\alpha$  in  $\sigma$
- only appears in the type environment

## Operations on Type Schemes



#### Instance

 $\sigma = \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m \cdot \tau$  has an *instance*  $\tau'$ , written as  $\sigma \succeq \tau'$ , if there is a substitution S with  $dom(S) \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  such that  $\tau' = S\tau$ .

#### Generalization

 $GEN(\Gamma, \tau) = \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m . \tau$ where  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} = FV(\tau) \setminus FV(\Gamma).$ 



Var	Lam	Арр	
$\sigma \succeq \tau$	$\Gamma, x:  au \vdash e:  au'$	$\Gamma \vdash e_0 : \tau \to \tau'$	$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau$
$\overline{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash x : \tau}$	$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x . e : \tau \to \tau'}$	$\boxed{  } \Gamma \vdash e_0 \; e_1 : \tau'$	

$$\frac{\text{Let}}{\Gamma \vdash e_0 : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : \textit{GEN}(\Gamma, \tau) \vdash e_1 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \textit{let} \ x = e_0 \ \textit{in} \ e_1 : \tau'}$$

	Succ		
Num	$\Gamma \vdash e : Int$		
$\Gamma \vdash [n]$ : Int			
	$\Gamma \vdash succ \ e : $ Int		



let 
$$i = \lambda x \cdot x$$
 in  $(i (\lambda y \cdot succ y)) (i 42)$ 

- $\lambda x . x : \alpha \to \alpha$
- Generalized binding:  $i: \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$
- *i* 42 using instance  $Int \rightarrow Int$
- $i (\lambda y. succ y)$  using instance  $(Int \rightarrow Int) \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow Int)$
- Type checking succeeds
- Type checking the uses of *i* is better decoupled from *i*'s definition ⇒ improved modularity



- Type soundness
- Decidable type checking and type inference (upcoming)
- Basis for type system of ML, Haskell, and other languages
- Numerous extensions



## 1 Type Inference for the Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

2 ML-Style Polymorphic Types

3 Type Inference for Mini-ML



The algorithm  $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma; e)$  transforms a type environment  $\Gamma$  and a term e into a pair  $(S, \tau)$  of a substitution and a type (or fails if no typing exists). This algorithm is the traditional Hindley-Milner type inference algorithm.

## Mini-ML Type Inference Algorithm, Part I



$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma; x)$	=	let $\forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m \cdot \tau = \Gamma(x)$
		$\beta_1 \dots \beta_m \leftarrow fresh$
		return $(ID, \tau[\alpha_i \mapsto \beta_i])$
$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma; \lambda x. e)$	=	$\beta \leftarrow fresh$
		$(S,  au) \leftarrow \mathcal{W}(\Gamma, x : eta; e)$
		return $(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{S}eta ightarrow au)$
$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma; e_0 e_1)$	=	$(S_0,  au_0) \leftarrow \mathcal{W}(\Gamma; e_0)$
		$(S_1,  au_1) \leftarrow \mathcal{W}(S_0 \Gamma; e_1)$
		$\beta \leftarrow fresh$
		$T \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(S_1 \tau_0 \doteq \tau_1 \rightarrow \beta)$
		return ( $T \circ S_1 \circ S_0, T\beta$ )
$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma; let x = e_0 in e_1)$	=	$(S_0,  au_0) \leftarrow \mathcal{W}(\Gamma; e_0)$
		let $\sigma = GEN(S_0\Gamma, \tau_0)$
		$(S_1, \tau_1) \leftarrow \mathcal{W}(S_0 \Gamma, x : \sigma; e_1)$
		return $(S_1 \circ S_0,  au_1)$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(\Gamma; \lceil n \rceil) &= \operatorname{return} (ID, \operatorname{Int}) \\ \mathcal{W}(\Gamma; \operatorname{succ} e) &= (S, \tau) \leftarrow \mathcal{W}(\Gamma; e) \\ & \operatorname{let} T \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(\tau \doteq \operatorname{Int}) \text{ in} \\ & \operatorname{return} (T \circ S, \operatorname{Int}) \end{aligned}$$



#### Soundness

If 
$$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma; e) =$$
**return**  $(S, \tau)$ , then  $S\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ .

#### Completeness

If  $S\Gamma \vdash e : \tau'$ , then  $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma; e) = \operatorname{return} (T, \tau)$  such that  $S = S' \circ T$  and  $\tau' = S'\tau$ .