

Principles of Programming Languages

Lecture 07 Understanding Types, Data Abstraction, and Polymorphism

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg

Peter Thiemann

University of Freiburg, Germany

thiemann@informatik.uni-freiburg.de

28 May 2018



UNI
FREIBURG

On Understanding Types, Data Abstraction, and Polymorphism



UNI
FREIBURG

Excerpted from: Luca Cardelli, Peter Wegner. On Understanding Types, Data Abstraction, and Polymorphism. ACM Computing Surveys, 17(4):471–522, 1985.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Universal Quantification
- 3 Existential Quantification
- 4 Bounded Quantification
- 5 Summary

Kinds of Polymorphism

- Monomorphic languages:
 - All functions and procedures have unique type.
 - All values and variables of one and only type.
 - Comparable to Pascal or C type systems.
- Polymorphic languages:
 - Values and variables may have more than one type.
 - Polymorphic functions admit operands of more than one type.
- Universal polymorphism:
 - Function works uniformly on range of types.
 - Parametric and inclusion polymorphism.
- Ad-hoc polymorphism:
 - Function works on several unrelated types.
 - Overloading and coercion.

Universal Polymorphism

Parametric polymorphism:

- Actual type is a function of type parameters.
- Each application of polymorphic function substitutes the type parameters.
- Generic functions:
 - "Same" work is done for arguments of many types.
 - Length function over lists.

Inclusion polymorphism:

- Value belongs to several types related by inclusion relation.
- Object-oriented type systems.

Ad-hoc Polymorphism

Overloading

- Same name denotes different functions.
- Context decides which function is denoted by particular occurrence of a name.
- Preprocessing may eliminate overloading by giving different names to different functions.

Coercion

- Type conversions convert an argument to a type expected by a function.
- May be provided statically at compile time.
- May be determined dynamically by run-time tests.

Only apparent polymorphism

Overloading and Coercion

- Distinction may be blurred:

3 + 4

3.0 + 4

3 + 4.0

3.0 + 4.0

- Different explanations possible:

- + has four overloaded meanings.
- + has two overloaded meanings (integer and real addition) and integers may be coerced to reals.
- + is real addition and integers are always coerced to reals.

- Overloading and/or coercion or both!

Preview of Fun

- Language based on lambda-calculus
 - Basis is first-order typed lambda-calculus.
 - Enriched by second-order features for modeling polymorphism and object-oriented languages.
- First-order types
 - Bool, Int, Real, String.
- Various forms of type quantifiers

$$\begin{aligned} T & ::= \dots \mid S \\ S & ::= \forall X. T \mid \exists X. T \mid \forall X \subseteq T. T \mid \exists X \subseteq T. T \end{aligned}$$

- Modeling of advanced type systems:
 - Universal quantification: parameterized types.
 - Existential quantifiers: abstract data types.
 - Bounded quantification: typing inheritance.

The Typed Lambda-Calculus

- Syntactic extension of untyped lambda-calculus
 - Every variable must be explicitly typed when introduced
 - Result types can be deduced from function body.

- Examples

```
value succ = fun(x:Int) x+1
value twice = fun(f: Int → Int) fun(y:Int) f(f(y))
```

- Type declarations:

```
type IntPair = Int ×
type IntFun = Int → Int
```

- Type annotations/assertions:

```
(3, 4): IntPair
value intPair: IntPair = (3, 4)
```

- Local variables

```
let a = 3 in a+1
let a: Int = 3 in a+1
```

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Universal Quantification
- 3 Existential Quantification
- 4 Bounded Quantification
- 5 Summary

Universal Quantification

- Simply typed lambda-calculus describes **monomorphic** functions.
- Introduce types as parameters:
 - Type abstraction `all [a] \dots`
 - Type application `x[T]`

```
value id = all [a] fun(x:a) x
id[Int](3)
```

```
id : ∀ a. a → a
id[Int] : Int → Int
```

- May omit type information:

```
value id = fun x x
id(3)
```

- Type inference (type reconstruction) reintroduces `all [a]`, `a`, and `[Int]`

Examples for polymorphic types

```
type GenericId = ∀ a. a → a
id : GenericId
— examples
value inst = fun(f: ∀ a. a → a) (f[Int], f[Bool])
value intid : Int → Int = fst(inst(id))
value boolid : Bool → Bool = snd(inst(id))
```

Polymorphic Functions

- First version of polymorphic twice:

```
value twice1 = all[t] fun(f: ∀ a. a → a)
                      fun(x: t) f[t](f[t]x)
```

```
twice1[Int](id)(3)      -- legal.
twice1[Int](succ)       -- illegal!
```

- Second version of polymorphic twice:

```
value twice2 = all[t] fun(f: t → t) fun(x: t) f(fx)
```

```
twice2[Int](succ)       -- legal.
twice2[Int](id[Int])(3) -- legal.
```

- Both versions different in nature of f:

- In twice1, f is polymorphic function of type $\forall a. a \rightarrow a$.
- In twice2, f is monomorphic function of type $t \rightarrow t$ (for some instantiation of t)

Rules for Universal Quantification

Introduction and Elimination

All-Intro (type abstraction)

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash M : \tau \quad \alpha \notin fv(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha.M : \forall\alpha.\tau}$$

All-Elim (type application)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall\alpha.\tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash M[\tau'] : \tau[\tau'/\alpha]}$$

Formation of types $\Gamma \vdash \tau$

τ can be legally build from variables in Γ

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \alpha, \Gamma' \vdash \alpha}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \tau \rightarrow \tau'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \tau \quad \alpha \notin fv(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \forall\alpha.\tau}$$

Parametric Types

- Type definitions with similar structure:

```
type BoolPair = Bool × Bool
type IntPair = Int × Int
```

- Use parametric definition:

```
type Pair[T] = T × T
type PairOfBool = Pair[Bool]
type PairOfInt = Pair[Int]
```

- Type operators are not types:

```
type A[T] = T → T
type B = ∀ T. T → T
```

- Different notions!

Recursive Definitions

- Recursively defined type operators:

```
rec type List[Item] =  
  [ nil: Unit  
  , cons: {head: Item, tail: List[Item]} ]
```

- Constructing values of recursive types:

```
value nil: ∀ Item. List[Item] =  
  all[Item]. [ nil = () ]  
value intNil: List[Int] = nil[Int]  
value cons:  
  ∀ Item. (Item × List[Item]) → List[Item] =  
    all[Item].  
      fun(h Item, t: List[Item])  
        [ cons = {head = h, tail = t} ]
```

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Universal Quantification
- 3 Existential Quantification
- 4 Bounded Quantification
- 5 Summary

Existential Quantification

- Existential type quantification:
 - $p : \exists a. t(a)$
 - For some type a , p has type $t(a)$
- Examples:
 - $(3, 4) : \exists a. a \times a$
 - $(3, 4) : \exists a. a$
 - The same value can satisfy different existential types!
- Sample existential types:
 - **type** Top = $\exists a. a$ (type of any value)
 - $\exists a. \exists b. a \times b$ (type of any pair)
- Particularly useful: “existential packaging” (aka information hiding)
 - $x : \exists a. a \times (a \rightarrow \text{Int})$
 - $(\text{snd } x)(\text{fst } x)$
 - $(3, \text{succ})$ has this type
 - $([1,2,3], \text{length})$ has this type

- Abstract types:

- Unknown representation type.
 - Packaged with operations that may be applied to representation.

- Another example:

```
x: ∃ a. {const: a, op: a → Int}  
x.op(x.const)
```

- Restrict use of abstract types:

- Enable type checking.
 - **value** p: ∃ a. a × (a → Int)
= **pack**[a = Int in a × (a → Int)](3, succ)
 - Value p is a *package*
 - Type a × (a → Int) is the *interface*.
 - Binding a=Int is the type *representation*.

- General form:

- **pack** [a = typerepresentation in interface](implementation)

Use of Packages

- Package must be opened before use:

```
■ value p = pack[a = Int in a × (a → Int)]  
          (3, succ)  
open p as x in (sndx)(fstx)
```

```
value p = pack[a = Int in {arg: a, op: a → Int}]  
          {arg = 3, op = succ}  
open p as x in x.op(x.arg)
```

- Reference to hidden type: **open** p **as** x[b] **in****fun**(y:b) (sndx)(y)

Rules for Existential Quantification

Introduction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau[\tau'/\alpha] \quad \alpha \notin fv(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{pack}[\alpha = \tau' \text{ in } \tau](M) : \exists \alpha. \tau}$$

Elimination

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \exists \alpha. \tau \quad \Gamma, \alpha, x : \tau \vdash N : \tau' \quad \alpha \notin fv(\tau', \Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{open } M \text{ as } x[\alpha] \text{ in } N}$$

Modeling of Ada type system:

- Records with function components model Ada packages.
- Existential quantification models Ada type abstraction.

```
type Point = Real × Real
type Point1 =
  {makepoint: (Real × Real) → Point ,
   x_coord: Point → Real ,
   y_coord: Point → Real}

value point1: Point1 =
  {makepoint = fun(x:Real , y:Real)(x, y),
   x_coord = fun(p:Point) fst(p),
   y_coord = fun(p:Point) snd(p)}
```

```
package point1 is
    function makepoint(x: Real, y: Real) return Point;
    function x_coord(P: Point) return Real;
    function y_coord(P: Point) return Real;
end point1;

package body point1 is
    function makepoint(x: Real, y: Real) return Point;
        — implementation of makepoint
    function x_coord(P: Point) return Real;
        — implementation of x_coord
    function y_coord(P: Point) return Real;
        — implementation of y_coord
end point1;
```

Hidden Data Structures

- Ada:

```
package body localpoint is
    point: Point;
    procedure makePoint(x, y: Real); ... .
    function x_coord return Real; ... .
    function y_coord return Real; ... .
end localpoint
```

- Fun:

```
value localpoint =
  let p: Point = ref((0,0)) in
  {makepoint = fun(x: Real, y: Real) p := (x, y),
   x_coord = fun() fst(!p)
   y_coord = fun() snd(!p)}
```

- First-order information hiding: Use let construct to restrict scoping at value level (hide record components).

Hidden Data Types

Second-order information hiding: Use existential quantification to restrict scoping at type level (hide type representation).

```
package point2
    type Point is private;
    function makepoint(x: Real, y: Real) return Point;
    ...
    private
    — hidden local definition of type Point
end point2;

type Point2WRT[Point] =
    {makepoint: (Real × Real) → Point,
     ... .}

type Point2 =
    ∃ Point. Point2WRT[Point]

value point2: Point2 = pack[Point = (Real × Real) in
    Point2WRT[Point]] point1
```

Combining Universal and Existential Quantification

- Universal quantification: generic types.
- Existential quantification: abstract data types.
- Combination: parametric data abstractions.

Signature of list and array operations for examples

Empty list, list constructor, head, tail, test for empty list

```
nil: ∀ a. List[a]  
cons: ∀ a. (a × List[a]) → List[a]  
hd: ∀ a. List[a] → a  
tl: ∀ a. List[a] → List[a]  
null: ∀ a. List[a] → Bool
```

Create an array (size, initial value), index into an array, update an array in place

```
array: ∀ a. Int → a → Array[a]  
index: ∀ a. (Array[a] × Int) → a  
update: ∀ a. (Array[a] × Int × a) → Unit
```

Concrete Stacks

```
type IntListStack =
{emptyStack: List[Int],
 push: (Int × List[Int]) → List[Int]
 pop: List[Int] → List[Int],
 top: List[Int] → Int}

value intListStack: IntListStack =
{emptyStack = nil[Int],
 push = fun(a: Int, s: List[Int]) cons[Int](a, s),
 pop = fun(s: List[Int]) tl[Int](s)
 top = fun(s: List[Int]) hd[Int](s)}

type IntArrayStack =
{emptyStack: (Array[Int] × Int),
 push: (Int × Array[Int] × Int) → (Array[Int] × Int),
 pop: (Array[Int] × Int) → (Array[Int] × Int),
 top: (Array[Int] × Int) → Int}

value intArrayList: IntArrayStack =
{emptyStack = (array[Int](100)(0), -1) ... .}
```

Generic Element Types

```
type GenericListStack =
  ∀ Item .
  {emptyStack: List[Item] ,
   push: (Item × List[Item]) → List[Item]
   pop: List[Item] → List[Item] ,
   top: List[Item] → Item}

value genericListStack: GenericListStack =
  all[Item]
  {emptyStack = nil[Item] ,
   push = fun(a: Item , s: List[Item]) cons[Item](a,s) ,
   pop = fun(s: List[Item]) tl[Item](s)
   top = fun(s: List[Item]) hd[Item](s)}

type GenericArrayList =
  ... .

value genericArrayList: GenericArrayList =
  ... .
```

Hiding the Representation

```
type GenericStack =
  ∀ Item. ∃ Stack. GenericStackWRT[Item][Stack]

type GenericStackWRT[Item][Stack] =
{emptyStack: Stack,
 push: (Item × Stack) → Stack
 pop: Stack → Stack,
 top: Stack → Item}

value listStackPackage: GenericStack =
  all[Item]
    pack[Stack = List[Item] in GenericStackWRT[Item][Stack]]
      genericListStack[Item]

value useStack =
  fun(stackPackage: GenericStack)
    open stackPackage[Int] as p[stackRep]
    in p.top(p.push(3, p.emptystack))

useStack(listStackPackage)
```

Extra: Abstracting over Type Constructors

Extension of Fun

- can use the abstracted stack at different type instances
- abstraction over type constructors (like List)

```
type GenericStack2 =
  ∃ Stack. ∀ Item. GenericStackWRT2[Item][Stack]

type GenericStackWRT2[Item][Stack] =
  {emptyStack: Stack[Item],
   push: (Item × Stack[Item]) → Stack[Item]
   pop: Stack[Item] → Stack[Item],
   top: Stack[Item] → Item}

value listStackPackage2: GenericStack2 =
  pack[Stack = List in ∀ Item. GenericStackWRT2[Item][Stack]]
  genericListStack

value useStack =
  fun(stackPackage: GenericStack2)
    open stackPackage as p[SCon] in
    let pi : SCon[Int] = p[Int]
      pb : SCon[Bool] = p[Bool]
    in (pi.top(pi.push(3, pi.emptystack)),
        pb.top(pb.push(true, pb.emptystack)))

useStack(listStackPackage2)
```

Extra: Alternative

Alternatively, the parametric type can be polymorphic

```
type GenericStack2 =
  ∃ Stack . GenericStackWRT3[Stack]

type GenericStackWRT3[Stack] =
  ∀ Item .
  {emptyStack: Stack[Item],
   push: (Item × Stack[Item]) → Stack[Item]
   pop: Stack[Item] → Stack[Item],
   top: Stack[Item] → Item}

value listStackPackage3: GenericStack2 =
  pack[Stack = List in GenericStackWRT3[Stack]]
    genericListStack

value useStack = ... .
```

Extra: A problem

How can we create an analogous polymorphic `arrayStackPackage`?

- 1 list representation: $\text{Stack}[\text{Item}] \mapsto \text{List}[\text{Item}]$
- 2 array representation: $\text{Stack}[\text{Item}] \mapsto \text{Array}[\text{Item}] \times \text{Int}$
 - In case 1, we can apparently abstract over $\text{Stack}[_]$
 - In case 2, we would have to abstract over $\text{Array}[_] \times \text{Int}$

Extra: A problem

How can we create an analogous polymorphic `arrayStackPackage`?

- 1 list representation: $\text{Stack}[\text{Item}] \mapsto \text{List}[\text{Item}]$
- 2 array representation: $\text{Stack}[\text{Item}] \mapsto \text{Array}[\text{Item}] \times \text{Int}$
 - In case 1, we can apparently abstract over $\text{Stack}[_]$
 - In case 2, we would have to abstract over $\text{Array}[_] \times \text{Int}$

Solution

(Lambda) abstraction in types

- $\text{Stack} \mapsto \text{fun } (\text{Item}) \text{ Array}[\text{Item}] \times \text{Int}$
- Then $\text{Stack}[\text{Int}] = (\text{fun } (\text{Item}) \text{ Array}[\text{Item}] \times \text{Int})[\text{Int}] \rightarrow_{\beta} \text{Array}[\text{Int}] \times \text{Int}$

- Modules

- Abstract data type packaged with operators.
- Can import other (known) modules.
- Can be parameterized with (unknown) modules.

- Parametric modules

- Functions over existential types.

Example: Module with two Implementations

```
type PointWRT[PointRep] =
{mkPoint: (Real × Real) → PointRep,
 x_coord: PointRep → Real,
 y_coord: PointRep → Real}

type Point = ∃ PointRep. PointWRT[PointRep]

value cartesianPointOps =
{mkpoint = fun(x: Real, y: Real) (x,y),
 x_coord = fun(p: Real × Real) fst(p),
 y_coord = fun(p: Real × Real) snd(p)}

value cartesianPointPackage: Point =
pack[PointRep = Real × Real in PointWRT[PointRep]]
(cartesianPointOps)

value polarPointPackage: Point =
pack[PointRep = Real × Real in PointWRT[PointRep]]
{mkpoint = fun(x: Real, y: Real) ... .,
 x_coord = fun(p: Real × Real) ... .,
 y_coord = fun(p: Real × Real) ... .}
```

Parametric Modules

```
type ExtendedPointWRT[PointRep] =  
    PointWRT[PointRep] &  
    {add: (PointRep × PointRep) → PointRep}  
  
type ExtendedPoint =  
    ∃ PointRep. ExtendedPointWRT[PointRep]  
  
value extendPointPackage =  
    fun(pointPackage: Point)  
    open pointPackage as p[PointRep] in  
        pack[PointRep' = PointRep in ExtendedPointWRT[PointRep']]  
        p & {add = fun(a: PointRep, b: PointRep)  
              p.mkpoint(p.x_coord(a)+p.x_coord(b),  
                        p.y_coord(a)+p.y_coord(b))}  
  
value extendedCartesianPointPackage =  
    extendPointPackage(cartesianPointPackage)
```

A Circle Package

```
type CircleWRT2[CircleRep, PointRep] =
{pointPackage: PointWRT[PointRep],
 mkcircle: (PointRep × Real) → CircleRep,
 center: CircleRep → PointRep, ... .}

type CircleWRT1[PointRep] =
 $\exists$  CircleRep. CircleWRT2[CircleRep, PointRep]

type Circle =
 $\exists$  PointRep. CircleWRT1[PointRep]

type CircleModule =
 $\forall$  PointRep.
PointWRT[PointRep] → CircleWRT1[PointRep]

value circleModule: CircleModule =
all[PointRep]
fun(p: PointWRT[PointRep])
  pack[CircleRep = PointRep × Real
       in CircleWRT2[CircleRep, PointRep]]
  {pointPackage = p,
   mkcircle = fun(m: PointRep, r: Real)(m, r) ... .}

value cartesianCirclePackage =
open CartesianPointPackage as p[Rep] in
  pack[PointRep = Rep in CircleWRT1[PointRep]]
    circleModule[Rep](p)

open cartesianCirclePackage as c0[PointRep] in
open c0 as c[CircleRep] in
... .c.mkcircle(c.pointPackage.mkpoint(3, 4), 5) ... .
```

A Rectangle Package

```
type RectWRT2[RectRep, PointRep] =  
{pointPackage: PointWRT[PointRep],  
 mkrect: (PointRep × PointRep) → RectRep, ... .}  
  
type RectWRT1[PointRep] =  
∃ RectRep. RectWRT2[RectRep, PointRep]  
  
type Rect =  
∃ PointRep. RectWRT1[PointRep]  
  
type RectModule = ∀ PointRep.  
PointWRT[PointRep] → RectWRT1[PointRep]  
  
value rectModule: RectModule =  
all[PointRep]  
fun(p: PointWRT[PointRep])  
pack[PointRep' = PointRep  
in RectWRT1[PointRep']]  
{pointPackage = p,  
mkrect = fun(tl: PointRep, br: PointRep) ... .}
```

A Figures Package

```
type FiguresWRT3[RectRep, CircleRep, PointRep] =  
{circlePackage: CircleWRT[CircleRep, PointRep],  
rectPackage: RectWRT[RectRep, PointRep],  
boundingRect: CircleRep → RectRep}  
  
type FiguresWRT1[PointRep] =  
∃ RectRep. ∃ CircleRep.  
    FiguresWRT3[RectRep, CircleRep, PointRep]  
  
type Figures =  
∃ PointRep. FiguresWRT1[PointRep]  
  
type FiguresModule = ∀ PointRep.  
    PointWRT[PointRep] → FiguresWRT1[PointRep]  
  
value figuresModule: FiguresModule =  
all[PointRep]  
    fun(p: PointWRT[PointRep])  
        pack[PointRep'] = PointRep  
        in FiguresWRT1[PointRep']  
    open circleModule[PointRep](p) as c[CircleRep] in  
        open rectModule[PointRep](p) as r[RectRep] in  
            {circlePackage = c, ... .}
```

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Universal Quantification
- 3 Existential Quantification
- 4 Bounded Quantification
- 5 Summary

Subtyping: Liskov's substitution principle

- Type A is a *subtype* of type B if a value of type A can be given whenever a value of type B is expected.
- Yields a natural notion of subtyping on subranges, records, variants, functions, universally and existentially quantified types!

Subtyping Records and Variants

Subtyping records: let R_1 and R_2 be record types

- Width subtyping: $R_1 <: R_2$ iff R_1 has **more fields** than R_2
- Depth subtyping: $R_1 <: R_2$ iff, for all fields a of R_2 , the type of field a in R_1 is a subtype of field a in R_2 .
- Example: $\{a : \text{int}, b : \text{int}\} <: \{a : \text{double}\}$ (assuming that $\text{int} <: \text{double}$)

Subtyping Records and Variants

Subtyping records: let R_1 and R_2 be record types

- Width subtyping: $R_1 <: R_2$ iff R_1 has **more fields** than R_2
- Depth subtyping: $R_1 <: R_2$ iff, for all fields a of R_2 , the type of field a in R_1 is a subtype of field a in R_2 .
- Example: $\{a : \text{int}, b : \text{int}\} <: \{a : \text{double}\}$ (assuming that $\text{int} <: \text{double}$)

Subtyping variants: let V_1 and V_2 be variant types

- Width subtyping: $V_1 <: V_2$ iff V_1 has **fewer fields** than V_2
- Depth subtyping: $V_1 <: V_2$ iff, for all tags a of V_1 , the type of tag a in V_1 is a subtype of tag a in V_2 .
- Example: $[a : \text{int}] <: [a : \text{double}, b : \text{int}]$

Subrange and Functions

Integer subrange type $n \dots m$

- $n \dots m <: n' \dots m'$ iff $n' \leq n \wedge m \leq m'$
- **value** $f = \text{fun}(x: 2 \dots 5) \ x+1$
 $f: 2 \dots 5 \rightarrow 3 \dots 6$
 $f(3)$
value $g = \text{fun}(y: 3 \dots 4) \ f(y)$

Function type

- $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 <: \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau'_2$ iff $\tau'_1 <: \tau_1$ and $\tau_2 <: \tau'_2$
- Function of type $3 \dots 7 \rightarrow 7 \dots 9$ can be also used as function of type $4 \dots 6 \rightarrow 6 \dots 10$

Bounded Quantification and Subtyping

- Mix subtyping and polymorphism (cf. Java, Scala).

```
value f0 = fun(x: {one: Int}) x.one
f0({one = 3, two = true})
```

```
value f = all[a] fun(x: {one: a}) x.one
f[Int]({one = 3, two = true})
```

- Constraint `all[a <: T] e`

```
value g0 = all[a <: {one: Int}] fun(x: a) x.one
g0[{one:Int, two:Boolean}]({one=3, two=true})
```

- Two forms of inclusion constraints:

- In `f0`, implicit by function parameters.
- In `g0`, explicit by bounded quantification.
- Type expressions:

```
g0: ∀ a <: {one: Int}. a → Int
```

- Type abstraction:

```
value g = all[b] all[a <: {one: b}] fun(x:a)x:one
g[Int][({one:Int,two:Boolean})]({one=3, ... .})
```

Object Oriented Programming

```
type Point = {x: Int, y: Int}

value moveX0 =
  fun(p: Point, dx: Int) p.x := p.x + dx; p
value moveX =
  all[P <: Point] fun(p:P, dx: Int) p.x := p.x + dx; p

type Tile = {x: Int, y: Int, hor: Int, ver: Int}
moveX[Tile]({x = 0, y = 0, hor = 1, ver = 1}, 1).hor
```

- Result of `moveX` is same as argument type.
- `moveX` can be applied to objects of (yet) unknown type.

- Bounding existential quantifiers:
 - $\exists a <: t. t'$
 - $\exists a. t$ is short for $\exists a <: \text{Top}. t$

- Partially abstract types:
 - a is abstract.
 - We know a is subtype of t .
 - a is not more abstract than t .

- Modified packing construct:

```
pack [a <: t = t' in t''] e
```

Points and Tiles

```
type Tile = ∃ P. ∃ T <: P. TileWRT2[P, T]

type TileWRT2[P, T] =
{mktile: (Int × Int × Int × Int) → T,
 origin: T → P,
 hor: T → Int,
 ver: T → Int}

type TileWRT[P] = ∃ T <: P. TileWRT2[P, T]
type Tile = ∃ P. TileWRT[P]

type PointRep = {x: Int, y: Int}
type TileRep = {x: Int, y: Int, hor: Int, ver: Int}

pack [P = PointRep in TileWRT[P]]

pack [T <: PointRep = TileRep in TileWRT2[P, T]]
{mktile = fun(x:Int, y: Int, hor: Int, ver: Int)
 {x=x, y=y, hor=hor, ver=ver},
 origin = fun(t: TileRep) t,
 hor = fun(t: TileRep) t.hor,
 ver = fun(t: TileRep) t.ver}

fun(tilePack: Tile)
open tilePack as t[pointRep][tileRep]
let f = fun(p: pointRep) ... .
in f(t.tile(0, 0, 1, 1))
```

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Universal Quantification
- 3 Existential Quantification
- 4 Bounded Quantification
- 5 Summary

Summary

Three main principles

- Universal type quantification (polymorphism).
- Existential type quantification (abstraction).
- Bounded type quantification (subtyping).

Static type-checking

- Bottom-construction of types.
- More sophisticated type inference possible (ML).

- Dependent types (Martin-Löf).
- Calculus of constructions (Coquand and Huet).
- Type-checking often not decidable any more.