

Softwaretechnik

Lecture 03: Types and Type Soundness

Peter Thiemann

University of Freiburg, Germany

SS 2008

Table of Contents

Types and Type correctness

- J AUS: Java-Expressions (Ausdrücke)

- Evaluation of expressions

- Type correctness

- Result

Types and Type correctness

- ▶ big software systems : many involved people
 - ▶ project manager, designer, programmer, ...
- ▶ essential : divide into components with clear defined interfaces and specifications
 - ▶ How to divide the problem?
 - ▶ How to divide the work?
 - ▶ How to divide the tests?
- ▶ problems
 - ▶ Are suitable libraries available?
 - ▶ Do the components match each other?
 - ▶ Do the components fulfill their specification?

Requirements

- ▶ Programming language/-environment has to ensure:
 - ▶ each component implements their interfaces
 - ▶ the implementation fulfills the specification
 - ▶ each component is used correctly
- ▶ Main Problem : meet the interfaces and specifications:
 - ▶ simplest interface : *management of names*
Which operations does the component offer?
 - ▶ simplest specification: *types*
Which types do the arguments and the result of the operations have?
 - ▶ see interfaces in Java

Questions

- ▶ Which kind of security do types provide?
- ▶ Which kind of errors can be detected by using types?
- ▶ How do we provide type safety?
- ▶ How can we formalize type safety?

JAUS: Java-Expressions (Ausdrücke)

The language specifies a subset of Java expressions

$x ::=$	$\dots$	variables
$n ::=$	$0 \mid 1 \mid \dots$	numbers
$b ::=$	$\text{true} \mid \text{false}$	truth values
$e ::=$	$x \mid n \mid b \mid e+e \mid !e$	expressions

Correct and incorrect expressions

► type correct expressions

```
boolean flag;  
...  
0  
true  
17+4  
!flag
```

► expressions with type errors

```
int rain_since_April20;  
boolean flag;  
...  
!rain_since_April20  
flag+1  
17+(!false)  
!(2+3)
```

Typing Rules

- ▶ for each kind of expression there exists a typing rule, that defines:
 - ▶ if an expression is type correct
 - ▶ how to obtain the result type of the expression from the types of the subexpressions.
- ▶ five kinds of expressions (at first using words):
 - ▶ Constant numbers have type `int`.
 - ▶ truth values have type `boolean`.
 - ▶ The expression $e_1 + e_2$ has type `int`, if e_1 and e_2 have type `int`.
 - ▶ The expression `!e` has type `boolean`, if e has type `boolean`.
 - ▶ A variable x has the type, with which it was declared.

Formalization of “type correct expressions”

The language of types

$$t ::= \text{int} \mid \text{boolean} \quad \text{types}$$

Type judgment : expression e has type t

$$\vdash e : t$$

Formalization of “Typing rules”

- ▶ A type judgment is *valid*, if it is derivable according to the *typing rules*.
- ▶ To infer a valid type judgment J we use a *deduction system*.
- ▶ A deduction system consists of a set of type judgments and a set of typing rules.
- ▶ A typing rule (*inference rule*) is a pair $(J_1 \dots J_n, J_0)$ which consists of a list of judgments (*assumptions*, $J_1 \dots J_n$) and a judgment (*conclusion*, J_0) that is written as

$$\frac{J_1 \dots J_n}{J_0}$$

- ▶ If $n = 0$, a rule (ε, J_0) is an *axiom*.

Example: typing rules for JAUS

- ▶ numbers n has type `int`.

$$(\text{INT}) \frac{}{\vdash n : \text{int}}$$

- ▶ truth values has type `boolean`.

$$(\text{BOOL}) \frac{}{\vdash b : \text{boolean}}$$

- ▶ an expression $e_1 + e_2$ has type `int` if e_1 and e_2 has type `int`.

$$(\text{ADD}) \frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int}}$$

- ▶ an expression $!e$ has type `boolean`, if e has type `boolean`.

$$(\text{NOT}) \frac{\vdash e : \text{boolean}}{\vdash !e : \text{boolean}}$$

Derivation trees and validity

- ▶ A judgment is *valid* if a suitable derivation tree exists.
- ▶ A derivation tree for the judgment J is defined by
 1. $\frac{}{J}$, if $\frac{}{J}$ is an axiom
 2. $\frac{\mathcal{J}_1 \dots \mathcal{J}_n}{J}$, if $\frac{J_1 \dots J_n}{J}$ is a rule and each $\mathcal{J}_k$ is a derivation tree suitable for J_k .

Example: derivation trees

- ▶ $(\text{INT}) \frac{}{\vdash 0 : \text{int}}$ is a derivation tree with judgment $\vdash 0 : \text{int}$.
- ▶ $(\text{BOOL}) \frac{}{\vdash \text{true} : \text{boolean}}$ is a derivation tree for $\vdash \text{true} : \text{boolean}$.
- ▶ The judgment $\vdash 17 + 4 : \text{int}$ holds, because of the derivation tree

$$(\text{ADD}) \frac{(\text{INT}) \frac{}{\vdash 17 : \text{int}} \quad (\text{INT}) \frac{}{\vdash 4 : \text{int}}}{\vdash 17 + 4 : \text{int}}$$

Variable

- ▶ Programs declare variables
- ▶ Programs use them according to the declaration
- ▶ Declarations are collected in a *type environment*.

$$A ::= \emptyset \mid A, x : t \quad \text{type environment}$$

- ▶ An extended type judgment contains a type environment: The expression e has the type t in the type environment A .

$$A \vdash e : t$$

- ▶ typing rule for variables:
A variable has the type, with which it is declared.

$$(\text{VAR}) \frac{x : t \in A}{A \vdash x : t}$$

Extension of the remaining typing rules

- The typing rules forward the environment.

$$(\text{INT}) \frac{}{A \vdash n : \text{int}}$$

$$(\text{BOOL}) \frac{}{A \vdash b : \text{int}}$$

$$(\text{ADD}) \frac{A \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad A \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{A \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int}}$$

$$(\text{NOT}) \frac{A \vdash !e : \text{boolean}}{A \vdash e : \text{boolean}}$$

Example: derivation with variable

The declaration `boolean flag;` matches the type assumption

$$A = \emptyset, \text{flag} : \text{boolean}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\frac{\text{flag} : \text{boolean} \in A}{A \vdash \text{flag} : \text{boolean}}}{A \vdash ! \text{flag} : \text{boolean}}$$

Intermediate result

- ▶ Formal system for
 - ▶ syntax of expressions and types (CFG, BNF)
 - ▶ type judgments
 - ▶ validity of type judgments
- ▶ Open Questions
 - ▶ How to evaluate expressions?
 - ▶ coherence between evaluation and type judgments

Evaluation of expressions

(One possible) Approach

- ▶ Define a binary *reduction relation* $e \longrightarrow e'$ over expressions
- ▶ e is in relation to e' ($e \longrightarrow e'$) if one computational step leads from e to e' .
- ▶ example:
 - ▶ $5+2 \longrightarrow 7$
 - ▶ $(5+2)+14 \longrightarrow 7+14$

Result of computations

- ▶ A value v is a number or a truth value.
- ▶ An expression can reach a value in many steps:
 - ▶ 0 steps: 0
 - ▶ 1 step: $5+2 \longrightarrow 7$
 - ▶ 2 steps: $(5+2)+14 \longrightarrow 7+14 \longrightarrow 21$
- ▶ but
 - ▶ `!4711`
 - ▶ `1+false`
 - ▶ $(1+2)+\text{false} \longrightarrow 3+\text{false}$
- ▶ These expressions can not perform a reduction step. They correspond to runtime errors.
- ▶ Observation: these errors are type errors!

Formalization: results and reduction steps

- ▶ A value is a number or a truth value.

$$v ::= n \mid b \quad \text{values}$$

- ▶ one reduction step
 - ▶ If the two operands are number, we can add the two numbers to obtain a number as result.

$$(\text{B-ADD}) \frac{}{\lceil n_1 \rceil + \lceil n_2 \rceil \longrightarrow \lceil n_1 + n_2 \rceil}$$

$\lceil n \rceil$ represents the syntactic representation of the number n .

- ▶ If the operand of a negation is a truth value, the negation can be performed.

$$(\text{B-TRUE}) \frac{}{! \text{true} \longrightarrow \text{false}} \qquad (\text{B-FALSE}) \frac{}{! \text{false} \longrightarrow \text{true}}$$

Formalization: nested expressions

What happens if the operands of operations are not values? At first evaluate the subexpressions.

- The negation

$$(\text{B-NEG}) \frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{!e \longrightarrow !e'}$$

- addition, first operand

$$(\text{B-ADD-L}) \frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1}{e_1 + e_2 \longrightarrow e'_1 + e_2}$$

- addition, second operand (only evaluate the second, if the first is a value)

$$(\text{B-ADD-R}) \frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{v + e \longrightarrow v + e'}$$

Variable

- ▶ An expression that contains variables cannot be evaluated with the reduction steps.
- ▶ But we can eliminate the variable using *substitution*. This replaces the variable with a value, and then reduction is possible.
- ▶ Apply a substitution $[v_1/x_1, \dots v_n/x_n]$ to an expression e , written as

$$e[v_1/x_1, \dots v_n/x_n]$$

changes in e each occurrence of x_i to the corresponding value v_i .

- ▶ example:
 - ▶ $(!flag)[false/flag] \equiv !false$
 - ▶ $(m+n)[25/m, 17/n] \equiv 25+17$

Type correctness informally

- ▶ Type correctness: If there exists a type for an expression e , then e evaluates to a value in a finite number of steps.
- ▶ In particular, no runtime error happens.
- ▶ For the language JAUS the converse also holds (this is not correct in general, like in full Java)
- ▶ Prove in two steps (after Wright and Felleisen)
Assume e has a type, then it holds:
 - Progress: Either e is a value or there exists a reduction step for e .
 - Preservation: If $e \longrightarrow e'$, then e' and e have the same types.

Progress

If $\vdash e : t$ is derivable, then e is a value or there exists e' with $e \longrightarrow e'$.

Prove

Induction over the derivation tree of $\mathcal{J} \models e : t$.

If (INT) $\frac{}{\vdash n : \text{int}}$ is the final step of $\mathcal{J}$, then $e \equiv n$ is *a value* (and $t \equiv \text{int}$).

If (BOOL) $\frac{}{\vdash b : \text{boolean}}$ is the last step of $\mathcal{J}$, then $e \equiv b$ is *a value* (and $t \equiv \text{boolean}$).

Progress: Addition

If (ADD) $\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int}}$ is the final step of $\mathcal{J}$, then it holds

that $e \equiv e_1 + e_2$ and $t \equiv \text{int}$. Moreover, it is derivable that $\vdash e_1 : \text{int}$ and $\vdash e_2 : \text{int}$. The induction hypothesis tells us that e_1 is a value or there exists an e'_1 with $e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1$.

- ▶ If $e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1$ holds, we obtain that $e \equiv e_1 + e_2 \longrightarrow e' \equiv e'_1 + e_2$ cause of rule (B-ADD-L). This is the desired result.
- ▶ In the case $e_1 \equiv v_1$ is a value, we concentrate on $\vdash e_2 : \text{int}$. The induction hypothesis says that e_2 is either a value or there exists an e'_2 with $e_2 \longrightarrow e'_2$.
 - ▶ In the second case we can use rule (B-ADD-R) and get:
 $e \equiv v_1 + e_2 \longrightarrow e' \equiv v_1 + e'_2$.
 - ▶ In the first case ($e_2 \equiv v_2$), we can prove easily that $v_1 \equiv n_1$ and $v_2 \equiv n_2$ are both numbers. Hence, we can apply the rule (B-ADD) and obtain the desired e' .

Progress: Negation

If (NOT) $\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{boolean}}{\vdash !e_1 : \text{boolean}}$ is the last step of $\mathcal{J}$, it holds that $e \equiv !e_1$

and $t \equiv \text{boolean}$ and $\vdash e_1 : \text{boolean}$ is derivable.

Using the induction hypothesis (e_1 is a value or there exists e' with $e \longrightarrow e'$) there are two cases.

- ▶ In the case that $e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1$, we conclude that there exists e' with $e \longrightarrow e'$ using rule (B-NEG).
- ▶ If $e_1 \equiv v$ is a value, it's easy to prove that v is a truth value. Hence, we can apply the rule (B-TRUE) or (B-FALSE).

QED

Preservation

If $\vdash e : t$ and $e \longrightarrow e'$, then $\vdash e' : t$.

Prove

Induction on the derivation $e \longrightarrow e'$.

If (B-ADD) $\frac{}{\lceil n_1 \rceil + \lceil n_2 \rceil \longrightarrow \lceil n_1 + n_2 \rceil}$ is the reduction step, then it holds that $t \equiv \text{int}$ because of (ADD). We can apply (INT) to $e' = \lceil n_1 + n_2 \rceil$ and obtain the desired result $\vdash \lceil n_1 + n_2 \rceil : \text{int}$.

If (B-TRUE) $\frac{}{\text{!true} \longrightarrow \text{false}}$ is the reduction step it holds that $t \equiv \text{boolean}$ because of (NOT). We can apply (BOOL) to $e' = \text{false}$ and get the desired result $\vdash \text{false} : \text{boolean}$.

The case for rule B-FALSE is analogous.

Preservation: Addition

If (B-ADD-L) $\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1}{e_1 + e_2 \longrightarrow e'_1 + e_2}$ is the occasion for the last step, we obtain through $\vdash e : t$ that

$$(\text{ADD}) \frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int}}$$

holds with $e \equiv e_1 + e_2$ and $t \equiv \text{int}$.

From $\vdash e_1 : \text{int}$ and $e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1$ it follows by induction that $\vdash e'_1 : \text{int}$ holds. Another application of (ADD) on $\vdash e'_1 : \text{int}$ and $\vdash e_2 : \text{int}$ yields $\vdash e'_1 + e_2 : \text{int}$.

The case of rule (B-ADD-R) is analogous.

Preservation: Negation

If (B-NEG) $\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1}{!e_1 \longrightarrow !e'_1}$ is the occasion for the last step, we get through $\vdash e : t$, that

$$\text{(NOT)} \frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{boolean}}{\vdash !e_1 : \text{boolean}}$$

holds with $e \equiv !e_1$ and $t \equiv \text{boolean}$.

From $\vdash e_1 : \text{boolean}$ and $e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1$ we conclude (using induction) that $\vdash e'_1 : \text{boolean}$ holds. Another application of rule (NOT) to $\vdash e'_1 : \text{boolean}$ yields $\vdash !e'_1 : \text{boolean}$.

QED

Elimination of variables throw substitution

Intention

If $x_1 : t_1, \dots, x_n : t_n \vdash e : t$ and $\vdash v_i : t_i$ (for all i), then it holds $\vdash e[v_1/x_1, \dots, v_n/x_n] : t$.

Assertion

If $A', x_0 : t_0 \vdash e : t$ and $A' \vdash e_0 : t_0$, then it holds $A' \vdash e[e_0/x_0] : t$.

Prove

Induction over derivation of $A \vdash e : t$ with $A \equiv A', x_0 : t_0$.

If (VAR) $\frac{x : t \in A}{A \vdash x : t}$ is the latest step of the derivation, there are two

cases: Either $x \equiv x_0$ or not.

If $x \equiv x_0$ holds, then $e[e_0/x_0] \equiv e_0$. Because of the rule (VAR) it holds $t \equiv t_0$. Hence it holds $A' \vdash e_0 : t_0$ (use the assumption).

If $x \not\equiv x_0$, then $e[e_0/x_0] \equiv e$ and it holds $x : t \in A'$. Due to (VAR) it holds $A' \vdash e : t$.

Substitution: Constants

If (INT) $\frac{}{A \vdash n : \text{int}}$ is the latest step, it holds (INT) $\frac{}{A' \vdash n : \text{int}}$.

If (BOOL) $\frac{}{A \vdash b : \text{boolean}}$ is the latest step, it holds

(BOOL) $\frac{}{A' \vdash b : \text{boolean}}$.

Substitution: Addition

If (ADD) $\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad A \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{A \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int}}$ is the latest step, then the

induction hypothesis yields $A' \vdash e_1[e_0/x_0] : \text{int}$ and $A' \vdash e_2[e_0/x_0] : \text{int}$.

Apply rule (ADD) yields $A' \vdash (e_1 + e_2)[e_0/x_0] : \text{int}$.

Substitution: Negation

If $(\text{NOT}) \frac{A \vdash e_1 : \text{boolean}}{A \vdash !e_1 : \text{boolean}}$ is the latest step, the induction hypothesis yields $A' \vdash e_1[e_0/x_0] : \text{boolean}$. Apply rule (NOT) yields $A' \vdash (!e_1)[e_0/x_0] : \text{boolean}$.

QED

Theorem: Soundness of JAUS

- ▶ If $\vdash e : t$, then there exists a value v with $\vdash v : t$ and reduction steps

$$e_0 \longrightarrow e_1, e_1 \longrightarrow e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} \longrightarrow e_n$$

with $e \equiv e_0$ and $e_n \equiv v$.

- ▶ If e contains variables, then we have to substitute them with suitable values (choose values with same types as the variables).