

Software Engineering Testing and Debugging — Testing

Prof. Dr. Peter Thiemann

Universität Freiburg

08.06.2011

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure
- ▶ In order to know when a program fails we must have a **specification** (except for obvious failure such as a program crash)

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure
- ▶ In order to know when a program fails we must have a **specification** (except for obvious failure such as a program crash)
- ▶ A great deal of care is needed when writing specifications

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure
- ▶ In order to know when a program fails we must have a **specification** (except for obvious failure such as a program crash)
- ▶ A great deal of care is needed when writing specifications
- ▶ Finding a good set of test cases is often difficult

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure
- ▶ In order to know when a program fails we must have a **specification** (except for obvious failure such as a program crash)
- ▶ A great deal of care is needed when writing specifications
- ▶ Finding a good set of test cases is often difficult
- ▶ Even with good test cases, absence of failures does not imply absence of bugs (the number of ways to use the program is huge or infinite)

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure
- ▶ In order to know when a program fails we must have a **specification** (except for obvious failure such as a program crash)
- ▶ A great deal of care is needed when writing specifications
- ▶ Finding a good set of test cases is often difficult
- ▶ Even with good test cases, absence of failures does not imply absence of bugs (the number of ways to use the program is huge or infinite)
- ▶ **Program Verification** is about making sure there are no bugs

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure
- ▶ In order to know when a program fails we must have a **specification** (except for obvious failure such as a program crash)
- ▶ A great deal of care is needed when writing specifications
- ▶ Finding a good set of test cases is often difficult
- ▶ Even with good test cases, absence of failures does not imply absence of bugs (the number of ways to use the program is huge or infinite)
- ▶ **Program Verification** is about making sure there are no bugs
- ▶ This seems preferable, but software verification is in most cases economically impossible

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure
- ▶ In order to know when a program fails we must have a **specification** (except for obvious failure such as a program crash)
- ▶ A great deal of care is needed when writing specifications
- ▶ Finding a good set of test cases is often difficult
- ▶ Even with good test cases, absence of failures does not imply absence of bugs (the number of ways to use the program is huge or infinite)
- ▶ **Program Verification** is about making sure there are no bugs
- ▶ This seems preferable, but software verification is in most cases economically impossible
- ▶ On the other hand, testing is not just a way of finding bugs during the development –

Recap

- ▶ **Testing** – detect the presence of bugs by observing failures
- ▶ **Debugging** – find the bug causing a certain failure
- ▶ In order to know when a program fails we must have a **specification** (except for obvious failure such as a program crash)
- ▶ A great deal of care is needed when writing specifications
- ▶ Finding a good set of test cases is often difficult
- ▶ Even with good test cases, absence of failures does not imply absence of bugs (the number of ways to use the program is huge or infinite)
- ▶ **Program Verification** is about making sure there are no bugs
- ▶ This seems preferable, but software verification is in most cases economically impossible
- ▶ On the other hand, testing is not just a way of finding bugs during the development –
- ▶ Making testing a part of the development makes claims about the software more credible

- ▶ Specifications (informal)

- ▶ Specifications (informal)
- ▶ Test Cases

- ▶ Specifications (informal)
- ▶ Test Cases
 - ▶ How to write a **test case**

- ▶ Specifications (informal)
- ▶ Test Cases
 - ▶ How to write a **test case**
 - ▶ How to come up with a good **test suite** (collection of test cases)

- ▶ Program specifications tell what a piece of code should do
- ▶ But also what it requires to be able to do its job
- ▶ A specification can be seen as **contract** between the implementor and the user of the implemented code.
- ▶ A specification consists of two parts:
 - ▶ **Requires** (precondition) – what the user should fulfill before calling the code
 - ▶ **Ensures** (postcondition) – what the implementor promises about the result of the execution (provided requires were fulfilled)

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) { ... }
```

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) { ... }
```

Specification

Requires:

Ensures: *Result is the minimum element in a*

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) { ... }
```

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is the minimum element in a

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) { ... }
```

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is a minimum element in a

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) { ... }
```

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is equal to the minimum element in a

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) { ... }
```

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is less than or equal to all elements in a

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) { ... }
```

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is less than or equal to all elements in a and equal to at least one element in a

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) {  
    int x, i;  
    x = a[0];  
    for (i = 1; i < a.length; i++) {  
        if (a[i] < x) x = a[i];  
    }  
    return x;  
}
```

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is less than or equal to all elements in a and equal to at least one element in a

Specification Example

```
public static int find_min(int[] a) {  
    int x, i;  
    x = a[0];  
    for (i = 1; i < a.length; i++) {  
        if (a[i] < x) x = a[i];  
    }  
    return x;  
}
```

Specification

Requires: a is non-null and contains at least one element

Ensures: Result is less than or equal to all elements in a and equal to at least one element in a

What can be wrong about a specification?

What can be wrong about a specification?

- ▶ Badly stated – does not make sense

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is the minimum element in a

What can be wrong about a specification?

- ▶ Badly stated – does not make sense
- ▶ Vague – unclear what is meant

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is a minimum element in a

What can be wrong about a specification?

- ▶ Badly stated – does not make sense
- ▶ Vague – unclear what is meant
- ▶ Imprecise – more can be said about the behaviour

What can be wrong about a specification?

- ▶ Badly stated – does not make sense
- ▶ Vague – unclear what is meant
- ▶ Imprecise – more can be said about the behaviour
 - ▶ Postcondition is too weak

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is less than or equal to all elements in a

What can be wrong about a specification?

- ▶ Badly stated – does not make sense
- ▶ Vague – unclear what is meant
- ▶ Imprecise – more can be said about the behaviour
 - ▶ Postcondition is too weak
 - ▶ Precondition is too strong

Specification

Requires: a is non-null and contains at **exactly** one element

Ensures: Result is less than or equal to all elements in a and equal to at least one element in a

What can be wrong about a specification?

- ▶ Badly stated – does not make sense
- ▶ Vague – unclear what is meant
- ▶ Imprecise – more can be said about the behaviour
 - ▶ Postcondition is too weak
 - ▶ Precondition is too strong
- ▶ Incorrect – too much is said

What can be wrong about a specification?

- ▶ Badly stated – does not make sense
- ▶ Vague – unclear what is meant
- ▶ Imprecise – more can be said about the behaviour
 - ▶ Postcondition is too weak
 - ▶ Precondition is too strong
- ▶ Incorrect – too much is said
 - ▶ Precondition is too weak

Specification

Requires: a is non-null

Ensures: Result is less than or equal to all elements in a and equal to at least one element in a

What can be wrong about a specification?

- ▶ Badly stated – does not make sense
- ▶ Vague – unclear what is meant
- ▶ Imprecise – more can be said about the behaviour
 - ▶ Postcondition is too weak
 - ▶ Precondition is too strong
- ▶ Incorrect – too much is said
 - ▶ Precondition is too weak
 - ▶ Postcondition is too strong

Specification

Requires: a is non-null and contains at least one element

Ensures: Result is less than or equal to all elements in a and equal to at least one element in a, **and result is greater than 0**

Are all these cases of “bad” specifications?

- ▶ It's clear that we don't invalid or incorrect specifications.
- ▶ Vague specifications is a matter of whether they can be misunderstood.
- ▶ But imprecise specifications is not such a bad thing

Example

What does this method do?

```
public static int[] insert(int[] x, int n)
{
    int[] y = new int[x.length + 1];
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < x.length; i++) {
        if (n >= x[i]) break;
        y[i] = x[i];
    }
    y[i] = n;
    for (; i < x.length; i++) {
        y[i+1] = x[i];
    }
    return y;
}
```

Example, cont'd

Example

What does this method do?

```
public static int[] insert(int[] x, int n)
{ ... }
```

Specification

Requires:

Ensures:

Example, cont'd

Example

What does this method do?

```
public static int[] insert(int[] x, int n)
{ ... }
```

Specification

Requires: *x is non-null.*

Ensures: *Result is equal to x with n inserted in it.*

Example

What does this method do?

```
public static int [] insert(int [] x, int n)
{ ... }
```

Specification

Requires: x is non-null.

Ensures: Result is equal to x with n inserted in it and result is sorted in ascending order.

Example

What does this method do?

```
public static int [] insert(int [] x, int n)
{ ... }
```

Specification

Requires: x is non-null and sorted in ascending order.

Ensures: Result is equal to x with n inserted in it and result is sorted in ascending order.

Class invariant

- ▶ A class invariant is a condition about the state of each class instance that should be maintain throughout its existence
- ▶ We will focus on **weak** invariants
 - ▶ It should hold between calls to methods of the class,
 - ▶ but not during the execution of such methods

Class invariant

- ▶ A class invariant is a condition about the state of each class instance that should be maintain throughout its existence
- ▶ We will focus on **weak** invariants
 - ▶ It should hold between calls to methods of the class,
 - ▶ but not during the execution of such methods

Class specification consists of

- ▶ Class invariant
- ▶ Requires and ensures of the methods

Example, class invariant

```
public class HashSet {
    private Object[] arr;
    int nobj;

    public void insert(Object o) { ... }
    ...
}
```

Example, class invariant

```
public class HashSet {
    private Object[] arr;
    int nobj;

    public void insert(Object o) { ... }
    ...
}
```

Class Invariant

Example, class invariant

```
public class HashSet {
    private Object[] arr;
    int nobj;

    public void insert(Object o) { ... }
    ...
}
```

Class Invariant

- ▶ nobj should be equal to the number of non-null elements in arr, and

Example, class invariant

```
public class HashSet {
    private Object[] arr;
    int nobj;

    public void insert(Object o) { ... }
    ...
}
```

Class Invariant

- ▶ nobj should be equal to the number of non-null elements in arr, and
- ▶ for each index i in range of arr such that $arr[i]$ is non-null, all elements between indices $arr[i].hash()$ and i are non-null, and

Example, class invariant

```
public class HashSet {
    private Object[] arr;
    int nobj;

    public void insert(Object o) { ... }
    ...
}
```

Class Invariant

- ▶ nobj should be equal to the number of non-null elements in arr, and
- ▶ for each index i in range of arr such that $arr[i]$ is non-null, all elements between indices $arr[i].hash()$ and i are non-null, and
- ▶ there are no two non-null elements of arr that are equal

Testing

Software testing

What we look at here

- ▶ Systematic – general rules for how to write test cases
- ▶ Repeatable – be able to run tests over and over again

Software testing

What we look at here

- ▶ Systematic – general rules for how to write test cases
- ▶ Repeatable – be able to run tests over and over again

What we don't look at here

- ▶ Running a program to see if anything goes wrong
- ▶ Letting a lot of people run the program to see if anything goes wrong (Beta-testing)

Testing on Different Levels

- ▶ **Unit Testing** – testing a small unit of a system
Requires that the behaviour of the unit has been specified.
In JAVA this often corresponds to testing a method or a class.

Testing on Different Levels

- ▶ **Unit Testing** – testing a small unit of a system
Requires that the behaviour of the unit has been specified.
In JAVA this often corresponds to testing a method or a class.
- ▶ **Integration Testing** – testing the interaction between two or more units

Testing on Different Levels

- ▶ **Unit Testing** – testing a small unit of a system
Requires that the behaviour of the unit has been specified.
In JAVA this often corresponds to testing a method or a class.
- ▶ **Integration Testing** – testing the interaction between two or more units
- ▶ **System Testing** – testing a whole system against the specification of its externally observable behaviour
System testing is mostly useful for convincing about the correctness. Less useful for finding bugs because the infection phase going from defect to failure is usually complex and difficult to unwind.

Testing on Different Levels

- ▶ **Unit Testing** – testing a small unit of a system
Requires that the behaviour of the unit has been specified.
In JAVA this often corresponds to testing a method or a class.
- ▶ **Integration Testing** – testing the interaction between two or more units
- ▶ **System Testing** – testing a whole system against the specification of its externally observable behaviour
System testing is mostly useful for convincing about the correctness. Less useful for finding bugs because the infection phase going from defect to failure is usually complex and difficult to unwind.

The code that is being tested is called the **IUT** (implementation under test).

Testing Non-Functional Requirements

Not considered further

- ▶ Performance testing or load testing
- ▶ Stability testing
- ▶ Usability testing
- ▶ Security testing

When Should Testing Take Place?

The sooner a bug is found, the better.
So, testing should start early.
Extreme case: Test-driven program development

When Should Testing Take Place?

The sooner a bug is found, the better.
So, testing should start early.
Extreme case: Test-driven program development

but

Testing early means a lot of unit testing which requires a lot of specifications.

But writing specifications for the units of a system is already needed for a large project when programming by contract.

When Should Testing Take Place?

The sooner a bug is found, the better.
So, testing should start early.
Extreme case: Test-driven program development

but

Testing early means a lot of unit testing which requires a lot of specifications.

But writing specifications for the units of a system is already needed for a large project when programming by contract.

Tested units may be replaced later on, making the tests useless.

On the other hand, writing and running tests often gives a deep understanding of the program. The need to replace the unit may have been realized during the testing activities.

Systematic testing

- ▶ Use precise methods to design correct tests

Systematic testing

- ▶ Use precise methods to design correct tests
- ▶ Each individual test is called a **test case**

Systematic testing

- ▶ Use precise methods to design correct tests
- ▶ Each individual test is called a **test case**
- ▶ Organize collections of related test cases in **test suites**

Systematic testing

- ▶ Use precise methods to design correct tests
- ▶ Each individual test is called a **test case**
- ▶ Organize collections of related test cases in **test suites**
- ▶ Use precise methods to make sure that a test suite has a good coverage of the different cases of usage

Repeatable testing

The basic idea is to write code that performs the tests.

Repeatable testing

The basic idea is to write code that performs the tests.

- ▶ A tool can automatically run a large collection of tests

Repeatable testing

The basic idea is to write code that performs the tests.

- ▶ A tool can automatically run a large collection of tests
- ▶ The testing code can be integrated into the actual code, thus stored in a organised way

Repeatable testing

The basic idea is to write code that performs the tests.

- ▶ A tool can automatically run a large collection of tests
- ▶ The testing code can be integrated into the actual code, thus stored in a organised way
- ▶ When a bug has been fixed, the tests can be rerun to check if the failure is gone

Repeatable testing

The basic idea is to write code that performs the tests.

- ▶ A tool can automatically run a large collection of tests
- ▶ The testing code can be integrated into the actual code, thus stored in a organised way
- ▶ When a bug has been fixed, the tests can be rerun to check if the failure is gone
- ▶ Whenever the code is extended, all old test cases can be rerun to check that nothing is broken (**regression testing**)

Repeatable testing

The basic idea is to write code that performs the tests.

- ▶ A tool can automatically run a large collection of tests
- ▶ The testing code can be integrated into the actual code, thus stored in a organised way
- ▶ When a bug has been fixed, the tests can be rerun to check if the failure is gone
- ▶ Whenever the code is extended, all old test cases can be rerun to check that nothing is broken (**regression testing**)

JUnit is a small tool for writing and running test cases. It provides:

- ▶ Some functionality that is repeatedly needed when writing test cases
- ▶ A way to annotate methods as being test cases
- ▶ A way to run test cases automatically in a batch

Testing influences code

Apart the obvious – that testing should result in removal of bugs

Testing influences code

Apart the obvious – that testing should result in removal of bugs

- ▶ When writing specifications and test cases for units, the responsibilities of the different parts become clearer, which promotes good OO programming style (low coupling)

Apart the obvious – that testing should result in removal of bugs

- ▶ When writing specifications and test cases for units, the responsibilities of the different parts become clearer, which promotes good OO programming style (low coupling)
- ▶ In order to be able to test programs automatically, separating the IO and functionality becomes important

Test case

- ▶ Initialisation (of class instance and input arguments)
- ▶ Call to the method of the IUT
- ▶ A test oracle which decides if the test succeeded or failed

Test case

- ▶ Initialisation (of class instance and input arguments)
- ▶ Call to the method of the IUT
- ▶ A test oracle which decides if the test succeeded or failed

- ▶ The test oracle is vital to run tests automatically

Small demo showing basics of how to use JUnit

Summary, and what's next?

Summary

- ▶ Specifications (motivation, contracts, pre- and postconditions, what to think about)
- ▶ Testing (motivation, different kinds of testing, role in software development, junit)

What's next?

- ▶ More examples of test cases, presenting aspects of writing test cases and features of JUnit
- ▶ How to write a good test case?
- ▶ How to construct a good collection of test cases (test suite)?