

Contents

Softwaretechnik

Lecture 21: Featherweight Java

Peter Thiemann

Universität Freiburg, Germany

SS 2011

Featherweight Java

- The language shown in examples
- Formal Definition
- Operational Semantics
- Typing Rules

Type Safety of Java

Featherweight Java

- ▶ 1995 public presentation of Java
- ▶ obtain importance very fast
- ▶ Questions
 - ▶ type safety?
 - ▶ What does Java mean?
- ▶ 1997/98 resolved
 - ▶ Drossopoulou/Eisenbach
 - ▶ Flatt/Krishnamurthi/Felleisen
 - ▶ Igarashi/Pierce/Wadler (Featherweight Java, FJ)

- ▶ Construction of a formal model:
consideration of completeness and compactness
- ▶ FJ: minimal model (compactness)
- ▶ complete definition: one page
- ▶ ambition:
 - ▶ the most important language features
 - ▶ short proof of type soundness
 - ▶ $FJ \subseteq Java$

The Language FJ

- ▶ class definition
- ▶ object creation **new**
- ▶ method call (*dynamic dispatch*), recursion with **this**
- ▶ field access
- ▶ type cast
- ▶ *override* of methods
- ▶ subtypes

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Softwaretechnik (english draft)

SWT 5 / 40

Example Programs

```
class A extends Object { A() { super(); } }

class B extends Object { B() { super(); } }

class Pair extends Object {
    Object fst;
    Object snd;
    // Constructor
    Pair (Object fst, Object snd) {
        super(); this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd;
    }
    // Method definition
    Pair setfst (Object newfst) {
        return new Pair (newfst, this.snd);
    }
}
```

Omitted

- ▶ assignments
- ▶ interfaces
- ▶ *overload*
- ▶ **super**-calls
- ▶ **null**-references
- ▶ primitive types
- ▶ abstract methods
- ▶ inner classes
- ▶ shadowing of fields of super classes
- ▶ access control (**private**, **public**, **protected**)
- ▶ *exceptions*
- ▶ concurrency
- ▶ reflections

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Softwaretechnik (english draft)

Featherweight Java The language shown in examples

SWT 6 / 40

Explanation

- ▶ class definition: always define super class
- ▶ constructors:
 - ▶ one per class, always defined
 - ▶ arguments correspond to fields
 - ▶ always the same form: **super**-call, then copy the arguments into the fields
- ▶ field accesses and method calls **always** with recipient object
- ▶ method body: always in the form **return**...

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Softwaretechnik (english draft)

SWT 7 / 40

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Softwaretechnik (english draft)

SWT 8 / 40

Examples for Evaluation

method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
// will be evaluated to
new Pair (new B(), new B())
```

Examples for Evaluation

method call

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).setfst (new B())
// will be evaluated to
new Pair (new B(), new B())
```

Examples for Evaluation

field access

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).snd
// will be evaluated to
new B()
```

Examples for Evaluation

field access

```
new Pair (new A(), new B()).snd
// will be evaluated to
new B()
```

We have to evaluate the method body **new** Pair (newfst, **this**.snd) under this substitution. The substitution yields

```
new Pair (new B(), new Pair (new A(), new B()).snd)
```

Examples of Evaluation

type cast

```
(Pair)new Pair (new A (), new B ())
// evaluates to
new Pair (new A (), new B ())
```

Examples of Evaluation

type cast

```
(Pair)new Pair (new A (), new B ())
// evaluates to
new Pair (new A (), new B ())
```

- ▶ runtime check if Pair is a subtype of Pair.

- ▶ runtime check if Pair is a subtype of Pair.

Runtime Error

access to non existing field

```
new A().fst
```

no value, no evaluation rule matches

Runtime Error

access to non existing field

```
new A().fst
```

no value, no evaluation rule matches

call of non existing method

```
new A().setfst (new B())
```

no value, no evaluation rule matches

Runtime Error

access to non existing field

```
new A().fst
```

no value, no evaluation rule matches

call of non existing method

```
new A().setfst (new B())
```

no value, no evaluation rule matches

failing type cast

```
(B)new A ()
```

► A is not subtype of B

⇒ no value, no evaluation rule matches

If a Java program is type correct, then

- ▶ all field accesses refer to existing fields
- ▶ all method calls refer to existing methods, but
- ▶ failing type casts are possible.

Formal Definition

Syntax

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| $CL ::=$ | class definition |
| $C ::=$ | class C extends D { $C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots$ } |
| $K ::=$ | constructor definition |
| $M ::=$ | $C(C_1 f_1, \dots) \{super(g_1, \dots); this.f_1 = f_1; \dots\}$ |
| $t ::=$ | method definition |
| | $C m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \{return t; \}$ |
| $x ::=$ | expressions |
| $t.f ::=$ | variable |
| $t.m(t_1, \dots)$ | field access |
| $\text{new } C(t_1, \dots)$ | method call |
| | object creation |

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| $v ::=$ | type cast |
| $\text{new } C(v_1, \dots)$ | values |

Syntax—Conventions

- ▶ **this**
 - ▶ special variable, do not use it as field name or parameter
 - ▶ implicit bound in each method body
- ▶ sequences of field names, parameter names and method names include no repetition
- ▶ **class C extends D {C₁ f₁;... K M₁...}**
 - ▶ defines class *C* as subclass of *D*
 - ▶ fields *f₁*... with types *C₁*...
 - ▶ constructor *K*
 - ▶ methods *M₁*...
 - ▶ fields from *D* will be added to *C*, shadowing is not supported

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Softwaretechnik (english draft)

Featherweight Java Formal Definition

SWT

16 / 40

Syntax—Conventions

- ▶ ***C(D₁ g₁,..., C₁ f₁,...) {super(g₁,...); this.f₁ = f₁;...}***
 - ▶ define the constructor of class *C*
 - ▶ fully specified by the fields of *C* and the fields of the super classes.
 - ▶ number of parameters is equal to number of fields in *C* and all its super classes.
 - ▶ body start with **super(g₁,...)**, where *g₁,...* corresponds to the fields of the super classes
- ▶ ***D m(C₁ x₁,...) {return t;}***
 - ▶ defines method *m*
 - ▶ result type *D*
 - ▶ parameter *x₁*... with types *C₁*...
 - ▶ body is a **return** statement

SWT

17 / 40

Class Table

- ▶ The *class table CT* is a map from class names to class definitions
 - ⇒ each class has exactly one definition
 - ▶ the *CT* is global, it corresponds to the program
 - ▶ “arbitrary but fixed”
- ▶ Each class except Object has a superclass
 - ▶ Object is not part of CT
 - ▶ Object has no fields
 - ▶ Object has no methods (\neq Java)
- ▶ The class table defines a subtype relation $C <: D$ over class names
 - ▶ the reflexive and transitive closure of subclass definitions.

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Softwaretechnik (english draft)

SWT

18 / 40

Peter Thiemann (Univ. Freiburg)

Softwaretechnik (english draft)

SWT

19 / 40

Subtype Relation

$$\text{REFL } \frac{}{C <: C}$$

$$\text{TRANS } \frac{C <: D \quad D <: E}{C <: E}$$

$$\text{EXT } \frac{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \dots}{C <: D}$$

Consistency of CT

1. $CT(C) = \text{class } C \dots$ for all $C \in \text{dom}(CT)$
2. $\text{Object} \notin \text{dom}(CT)$
3. For each class name C mentioned in CT : $C \in \text{dom}(CT) \cup \{\text{Object}\}$
4. The relation $<$: is antisymmetric (no cycles)

Example: Classes Do Refer to Each Other

```
class Author extends Object {
    String name; Book bk;

    Author (String name, Book bk) {
        super();
        this.name = name;
        this.bk = bk;
    }
}

class Book extends Object {
    String title; Author ath;

    Book (String title, Author ath) {
        super();
        this.title = title;
        this.ath = ath;
    }
}
```

Auxiliary Definitions

collect Fields of classes

$$\text{fields}(\text{Object}) = \bullet$$

$$\frac{\text{CT}(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \} \quad \text{fields}(D) = D_1 g_1, \dots}{\text{fields}(C) = D_1 g_1, \dots, C_1 f_1, \dots}$$

- ▶ \bullet — empty list
- ▶ $\text{fields}(\text{Author}) = \text{String name; Book bk;}$
- ▶ Usage: evaluation steps, typing rules

Auxiliary Definitions

detect type of methods

$$\frac{\text{CT}(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \} \quad M_j = E m(E_1 x_1, \dots) \{ \text{return } t; \}}{mtype(m, C) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E}$$

$$\frac{\text{CT}(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \} \quad (\forall j) M_j \neq F m(F_1 x_1, \dots) \{ \text{return } t; \} \quad mtype(m, D) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E}{mtype(m, C) = (E_1, \dots) \rightarrow E}$$

- ▶ Usage: typing rules

Auxiliary Definitions

determine body of method

$$\frac{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \} \\ M_j = E m(E_1 x_1, \dots) \{\text{return } t; \}}{mbody(m, C) = (x_1 \dots, t)}$$

$$\frac{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \} \\ (\forall j) M_j \neq F m(F_1 x_1, \dots) \{\text{return } t; \}}{mbody(m, D) = (y_1 \dots, u)}$$

$$\frac{}{mbody(m, C) = (y_1 \dots, u)}$$

- ▶ Usage: evaluation steps

Example

```
class Recording extends Object {
    int high; int today; int low;
    Recording (int high, int today, int low) { ... }
    int dHigh() { return this.high; }
    int dLow() { return this.low }
    String unit() { return "not set"; }
    String asString() {
        return String.valueOf(high)
            .concat("-")
            .concat (String.valueOf(low))
            .concat (unit());
    }
}
class Temperature extends ARecording {
    Temperature (int high, int today, int low) { super(high, today, low); }
    String unit() { return "\u00b0C"; }
}
```

Auxiliary Definitions

correct overriding of methods

$$\frac{override(m, Object, (E_1 \dots)) \rightarrow E}{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \}}$$

$$\frac{M_j = E m(E_1 x_1, \dots) \{\text{return } t; \}}{override(m, C, (E_1 \dots)) \rightarrow E}$$

$$\frac{CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \} \\ (\forall j) M_j \neq F m(F_1 x_1, \dots) \{\text{return } t; \}}{override(m, D, (E_1 \dots)) \rightarrow E}$$

$$\frac{}{override(m, C, (E_1 \dots)) \rightarrow E}$$

- ▶ Usage: typing rules

- ▶ $fields(\text{Object}) = \bullet$
- ▶ $fields(\text{Temperature}) = fields(\text{Recording}) = \text{int high; int today; int low;}$
- ▶ $mtype(\text{unit}, \text{Recording}) = () \rightarrow \text{String}$
- ▶ $mtype(\text{unit}, \text{Temperature}) = () \rightarrow \text{String}$
- ▶ $mtype(dHigh, \text{Recording}) = () \rightarrow \text{int}$
- ▶ $mtype(dHigh, \text{Temperature}) = () \rightarrow \text{int}$
- ▶ $override(dHigh, \text{Object}, () \rightarrow \text{int})$
- ▶ $override(dHigh, \text{Recording}, () \rightarrow \text{int})$
- ▶ $override(dHigh, \text{Temperature}, () \rightarrow \text{int})$
- ▶ $mbody(\text{unit}, \text{Recording}) = (\varepsilon, "not set")$
- ▶ $mtype(\text{unit}, \text{Temperature}) = (\varepsilon, "\u00b0C")$

Direct Evaluation Steps

Operational Semantics (definition of the evaluation steps)

► evaluation: relation $t \rightarrow t'$ for one evaluation step

$$\text{E-PROJNEW} \frac{\text{fields}(C) = C_1 f_1, \dots}{(\mathbf{new } C(v_1, \dots)).f_i \rightarrow v_i}$$

$$\text{E-INVKNEW} \frac{mbody(m, C) = (x_1 \dots, t)}{(\mathbf{new } C(v_1, \dots)).m(u_1, \dots) \rightarrow t[\mathbf{new } C(v_1, \dots)/\text{this}, u_1, \dots/x_1, \dots]}$$

$$\text{E-CASTNEW} \frac{C \lessdot D}{(D)(\mathbf{new } C(v_1, \dots)) \rightarrow \mathbf{new } C(v_1, \dots)}$$

Evaluation Steps in Context

$$\text{E-FIELD} \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{t.f \rightarrow t'.f}$$

$$\text{E-INVK-RECV} \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{t.m(t_1, \dots) \rightarrow t'.m(t_1, \dots)}$$

$$\text{E-INVK-ARG} \frac{t_i \rightarrow t'_i}{v.m(v_1, \dots, t_i, \dots) \rightarrow v.m(v_1, \dots, t'_i, \dots)}$$

$$\text{E-NEW-ARG} \frac{t_i \rightarrow t'_i}{\mathbf{new } C(v_1, \dots, t_i, \dots) \rightarrow \mathbf{new } C(v_1, \dots, t'_i, \dots)}$$

$$\text{E-CAST} \frac{t \rightarrow t'}{(C)t \rightarrow (C)t'}$$

Example: Evaluation Steps

```
((Pair) (mathbf{new } Pair (mathbf{new } Pair (mathbf{new } A(), mathbf{new } B()).setfst (mathbf{new } B()), mathbf{new } B()).fst)).fst
// → [E-Field], [E-Cast], [E-New-Arg], [E-InvkNew]

((Pair) (mathbf{new } Pair (mathbf{new } Pair (mathbf{new } B(), mathbf{new } B()), mathbf{new } B().fst)).fst
// → [E-Field], [E-Cast], [E-ProjNew]

((Pair) (mathbf{new } Pair (mathbf{new } B(), mathbf{new } B()))).fst
// → [E-Field], [E-CastNew]

(mathbf{new } Pair (mathbf{new } B(), mathbf{new } B())).fst
// → [E-ProjNew]

mathbf{new } B()
```

Typing Rules

Typing Rules

involved type judgments

- ▶ $C <: D$
 C is subtype of D
- ▶ $A \vdash t : C$
Under type assumption A , the expression t has type C .
- ▶ $F m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \{ \text{return } t; \} \text{ OK in } C$
Method declaration is accepted in class C .
- ▶ **class** C **extends** $D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \}$ **OK**
Class declaration is accepted
- ▶ Type assumptions defined by

$$A ::= \emptyset \mid A, x : C$$

Accepted Class Declaration

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} K = C(D_1 g_1, \dots, C_1 f_1, \dots) \{ \text{super}(g_1, \dots); \text{this}.f_1 = f_1; \dots \} \\ \text{fields}(D) = D_1 g_1 \dots \\ (\forall j) M_j \text{ OK in } C \end{array}}{\text{class } C \text{ extends } D \{ C_1 f_1; \dots K M_1 \dots \}}$$

Accepted Method Declaration

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x_1 : C_1, \dots, \text{this} : C \vdash t : E \\ E <: F \\ CT(C) = \text{class } C \text{ extends } D \dots \\ \text{override}(m, D, (C_1, \dots) \rightarrow F) \end{array}}{F m(C_1 x_1, \dots) \{ \text{return } t; \} \text{ OK in } C}$$

Expression Has Type

$$\text{T-VAR} \frac{x : C \in A}{A \vdash x : C}$$

$$\text{T-FIELD} \frac{A \vdash t : C \quad \text{fields}(C) = C_1 f_1, \dots}{A \vdash t.f_i : C_i}$$

$$\text{F-INVK} \frac{A \vdash t : C \quad (\forall i) A \vdash t_i : C_i \quad (\forall i) C_i \lessdot D_i \quad \text{mtype}(m, C) = (D_1, \dots) \rightarrow D}{A \vdash t.m(t_1, \dots) : D}$$

$$\text{F-NEW} \frac{(\forall i) A \vdash t_i : C_i \quad (\forall i) C_i \lessdot D_i \quad \text{fields}(C) = D_1 f_1, \dots}{A \vdash \mathbf{new} C(t_1, \dots) : C}$$

Type Rules for Type Casts

$$\text{T-UCAST} \frac{A \vdash t : D \quad D \lessdot C}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$

$$\text{T-DCAST} \frac{A \vdash t : D \quad C \lessdot D \quad C \neq D}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$

Type Safety for Featherweight Java

- ▶ “Preservation” and “Progress” yields type safety
- ▶ “Preservation”:
If $A \vdash t : C$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $A \vdash t' : C'$ with $C' \lessdot C$.
- ▶ “Progress”: (short version)
If $A \vdash t : C$, then $t \equiv v$ is a value or t contains a subexpression e'

$$e' \equiv (C)(\mathbf{new} D(v_1, \dots))$$

with $D \not\lessdot C$.

\Rightarrow

- ▶ All method calls and field accesses evaluate without errors.
- ▶ Type casts can fail.

Problems in the Preservation Proof

Type casts destroy preservation

- ▶ Consider the expression $(A)((\mathbf{Object})\mathbf{new} B())$
- ▶ It holds $\emptyset \vdash (A)((\mathbf{Object})\mathbf{new} B()): A$
- ▶ It holds $(A)((\mathbf{Object})\mathbf{new} B()) \rightarrow (A)(\mathbf{new} B())$
- ▶ But $(A)(\mathbf{new} B())$ has no type!

Problems in the Preservation Proof

Type casts destroy preservation

- ▶ Consider the expression $(A)((Object)\text{new } B())$
- ▶ It holds $\emptyset \vdash (A)((Object)\text{new } B()): A$
- ▶ It holds $(A)((Object)\text{new } B()) \rightarrow (A)(\text{new } B())$
- ▶ But $(A)(\text{new } B())$ has no type!
- ▶ workaround: add additional rule for this case (*stupid cast*) —next evaluation step fail

$$\text{T-SCAST} \frac{A \vdash t : D \quad C \not\leq D \quad D \not\leq C}{A \vdash (C)t : C}$$

- ▶ We can prove preservation with this rule.

Statement of Type Safety

If $A \vdash t : C$, then one of the following cases applies:

1. t does not terminate
i.e., there exists an infinite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow t_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

2. t evaluates to a value v after a finite number of evaluation steps
i.e., there exists a finite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow t_n = v$$

3. t gets stuck at a failing cast
i.e., there exists a finite sequence of evaluation steps

$$t = t_0 \longrightarrow t_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow t_n$$

where t_n contains a subterm $(C)(\text{new } D(v_1, \dots))$ such that $D \not\leq C$.