

Software Engineering

Lecture 02: Processes

Peter Thiemann

University of Freiburg, Germany

SS 2013

Terms

- Software** ▶ Organized collection of computer data and instructions
- Component** ▶ Solves isolated task
▶ Developed by a single person
- SW System** ▶ Multiple components
▶ Developed by a team

Programming in the Small

- ▶ Development of a system comprised of a small number of “mind-sized” components
- ▶ Often clear requirements
- ▶ Sometimes algorithmic aspects
- ▶ Procedure for a single component:
 - ▶ Procedural decomposition, top-down
 - ▶ **“stepwise refinement”** (N. Wirth),

Programming in the Large

- ▶ Development of a **software system** comprised of many components
- ▶ **requirements** at first **fuzzy**
- ▶ Size or complexity dictate . . .
 - ▶ decomposition in a large number of components
 - ▶ development in a team
 - ▶ size determines duration, but beware of Brook's law!

Brook's law: Adding manpower to a late SW project makes it later




Image: <http://bulldozer00.files.wordpress.com/2010/11/productivity-and-capability.png?w=595>

Issues Arising with Programming in the Large

- ▶ **Requirements** need to be investigated
 - ▶ Communication problem customer ↔ developer
 - ▶ Understanding the problem
- ▶ **Design** of the system is significant task
 - ▶ **Decomposition in components** (interfaces, contracts)
 - ▶ **Information hiding** (D.L. Parnas)
 - ▶ Design for maintenance
 - ▶ **Long life span**
 - ▶ **High probability of changes** (aging)
 - ▶ Promising approach: **object-oriented analysis and design**
- ▶ **Construction** of components: programming in the small
- ▶ **Testing** required on many levels

Conclusion


- ▶ Programming in the large is a structured approach to all activities in the development of a software system
- ▶ Unfortunately, ...

Conclusion

- ▶ Programming in the large is a structured approach to all activities in the development of a software system
- ▶ Unfortunately, ...
 - ▶ there are many overall approaches (process models)
 - ▶ there are many techniques with similar goals

Process Models

- ▶ **Process Model**: structured network of **activities** and **artifacts**
- ▶ An activity transforms a set of artifacts into new artifacts




Phases

- ▶ Phases provide structure of process model
- ▶ Description of a phase
 - ▶ goals
 - ▶ activities
 - ▶ roles
 - ▶ required/new artifacts
 - ▶ patterns, guidelines, and conventions

Desiderata for Process Models

- ▶ The fewer phases, artifacts, roles, the better
- ▶ Artifacts should cover standard case
- ▶ Tool support
- ▶ Quality assurance for each artifact
- ▶ Traceability

The Classic: Waterfall Model



- ▶ Early error correction is cheaper (e.g. after analysis phase 100 times cheaper than after deployment)
- ▶ Hence, after every phase: check of previous phases
- ▶ Potentially return to previous phase
- ▶ Phases may overlap

Requirements Analysis

tractability

cost analysis

result:

decision on continuation of project

documents: (*artifacts*)

- ▶ Requirement specification (Lastenheft)
- ▶ Cost estimation
- ▶ Project plan

Definition / Specification

starting point:

vague, incomplete, inconsistent requirements

result:

complete, consistent, unequivocal, accomplishable requirements

documents:

- ▶ **System specification** (Pflichtenheft)
- ▶ **Product model** (e.g. OOA)
- ▶ **GUI model**
- ▶ **User manual**

Definition / Specification (cont'd)

- ▶ Only **external behavior** of system
- ▶ **Analysis of requirements**
 - ▶ functional / non-functional requirements
 - ▶ prioritization
- ▶ Main outcome: **system specification**
 - ▶ fixes the scope of the product
 - ▶ serves as basis for **contract** between customer and contractor
 - ▶ basis for **final acceptance**
 - ▶
 - ▶ functionality
 - ▶ user interface
 - ▶ interfaces to other systems
 - ▶ performance (response time, space usage)
 - ▶ required hard and software
 - ▶ guidelines for documentation
 - ▶ time scheduling
 - ▶ quality

Design

starting point: system specification / product model

- ▶ Decomposition in components / subsystems
- ▶ Logical interfaces of each component
- ▶ Choice of technologies

result: **Software architecture** (with specification of components)

Implementation and Testing

starting point: Software architecture

- ▶ Coding of component specifications
- ▶ Compilation to machine language
- ▶ Unit testing up to component level

result: implemented components and testing protocols

Integration, system test, and deployment

- ▶ Integration
 - ▶ stepwise addition of single components
 - ▶ tested with data fixed in advance
(functional requirements only)
 - ▶ System test
 - ▶ entire system (incl. hardware)
 - ▶ non-functional requirements (performance, GUI)
 - ▶ Deployment
 - ▶ transfer of software system to its working environment
- result: deployed product, protocol of final acceptance


Maintenance

- ▶ Supervision
- ▶ Bug fixes
- ▶ Changes due to changes in requirements (incl. extensions)
 result: maintained product

Concrete Process Models

1. V-Model
2. Prototyping model
3. Phased models (evolutionary, incremental, spiral)
4. Unified Software Process
5. Agile development techniques

V-Model *“Entwicklungsstandard für Systeme des Bundes”*




V-Model

- ▶ Builds on waterfall model
- ▶ Emphasizes validation connections between late phases and early phases
- ▶ Objectives
 - ▶ risk minimization
 - ▶ quality assurance
 - ▶ cost reduction
 - ▶ communication between stakeholders
- ▶ Current instance: V-Model XT

Prototyping Model

Lifecycle



Prototyping - Overview

Advantages:

- ▶ understanding the requirements for the user interface
- ▶ improves understanding between developer and client
- ▶ early testing of feasibility, usefulness, performance, etc.

Problems:

- ▶ customers treat the prototype as the product
- ▶ a prototype is **not** a specification
- ▶ significant user involvement

Phased Models

Evolutionary Development


1. model **core requirements**
2. design and implement
3. deploy
4. feedback from customer
5. revise/extend requirements
6. revise/extend design
7. revise/extend implementation
8. iterate from 3 until all requirements met

Incremental Development

1. model **all requirements**
2. design and implement **only core requirements**
3. deploy
4. feedback from customer
5. revise requirements
6. design further requirements
7. implement further requirements
8. iterate from 3 until all requirements met

Incremental Development

(each iteration adds more functionality)



Evolutionary Development

(each iteration incorporates new requirements)


version 1



version 2




version 3



lessons learned

lessons learned

Spiral Model (Barry Boehm 1988)



Comments on Phased Models

- ▶ Incremental development
 - ▶ avoids 'big bang' implementation
 - ▶ but assumes all requirements known up-front
- ▶ Evolutionary development
 - ▶ allows for lessons from each version to be incorporated into the next
 - ▶ but: hard to plan for versions beyond the first;
lessons may be learned too late
- ▶ Spiral model
 - ▶ primarily targeted at very large projects
 - ▶ iterative model that incorporates prototyping and risk analysis
 - ▶ but: cannot cope with unforeseen changes
not clear how to analyze risk

The Unified Software Process

Use-Case Driven

- ▶ Which user-visible processes are implemented by the system?
- ▶ Analysis, design, implementation, and testing driven by use-cases

Architecture centric


- ▶ Architecture developed in parallel to use cases (mutual dependency)

Iterative and Incremental

- ▶ eliminate risks first
- ▶ checkpoint after each iteration
- ▶ on failure of an iteration step, only current extension needs to be reconsidered
- ▶ small steps speed up project
- ▶ easy stepwise identification of the requirements


Structure of the Unified Software Process

- ▶ sequence of cycles
- ▶ after each cycle: product release with code, manuals, UML models, and test cases




- ▶ cycle consists of 4 phases:
Inception, Elaboration, Construction, Transition
- ▶ each phase consists of iterations

Cycle



Main-Workflows and Phases



- ▶ each phase ends with a **mile stone**
- ▶ each phase processes all workflows (with varying intensity)

Inception Phase

- ▶ functionality of system from users' perspective
most important use cases (**stakeholder needs**)
- ▶ preliminary sketch of suitable architecture
- ▶ project plan and cost
- ▶ identify most important risks (with priorities)
- ▶ plan elaboration phase
- ▶ **GOAL:** rough vision of the product

Elaboration Phase

- ▶ specify (most) use cases in detail
- ▶ design architecture
- ▶ implement most important use cases
- ▶ result: initial architecture
- ▶ plan activities and resources for remaining project
- ▶ use cases and architecture stable?
- ▶ risk management?
- ▶ **GOAL:** prototype (proof-of-concept for architecture)

Construction Phase

- ▶ implement system
- ▶ high resource needs
- ▶ small architectural changes
- ▶ **GOAL:** system ready for customer (small errors acceptable)

Transition Phase

- ▶ deliver beta-version to customer
- ▶ address problems (immediately or in next release)
- ▶ train customer

Agile Development Techniques

Extreme Programming (XP, Kent Beck 1999)

- ▶ frequent releases
- ▶ short development cycles
- ▶ pair programming
- ▶ unit testing w tests developed before the code
- ▶ features specified by tests
- ▶ implement features when needed
- ▶ clear progress marks
- ▶ don't spend much time on design
- ▶ stakeholder involvement

Agile Development Techniques

Scrum (Hirotaka Takeuchi and Ikujiro Nonaka 1986)

- ▶ Flexible approach to development; incremental process
- ▶ Adaptability to changing requirements

Core roles Product owner, Scrum master, Team

Ancillary roles Stakeholders, Managers


Sprint 2-4 weeks of intense development; goal: working increment that implements the sprint backlog; sprint backlog frozen during a sprint; self organization; burn down chart

Sprint Backlog requirements chosen for a sprint

Product Backlog as yet unimplemented requirements

The Pig and the Chicken

Roles in Scrum




By Clark & Vizdos

© 2006 implementingscrum.com

Image <http://www.implementingscrum.com/images/060911-scrumtoon.jpg>

Last Word

On Agile Development



By Clark & Vizdos

© 2006 implementingscrum.com

Image [http:](http://www.rallydev.com/community/sites/rallydev.com.community/files/agileblog/2009/04/061218-scrumtoon1.jpg)

[//www.rallydev.com/community/sites/rallydev.com.community/files/agileblog/2009/04/061218-scrumtoon1.jpg](http://www.rallydev.com/community/sites/rallydev.com.community/files/agileblog/2009/04/061218-scrumtoon1.jpg)

Summary

- ▶ Software has unique problems with far-reaching consequences
- ▶ Creating software systems requires structured process models
- ▶ Classic process phases: waterfall model
- ▶ Commonly used process models: V-model, prototyping, evolutionary, incremental, spiral, unified SW process, agile development